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We describe and review the current state of the art in atomic fountain clocks. These clocks provide the best realization of the SI second possible today, with relative uncertainties of a few parts in $10^{15}$.

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the main objectives in decades of perfecting of the caesium atomic clock has been the quest for ever increasing interaction times of the atoms with the microwave radiation [1]. Impressive results have been obtained by use of a combination of widely separated Ramsey zones and the magnetic selection of slow atoms [2]. However, intra-beam collisions and the velocity distribution in a thermal atomic beam make it difficult to select atoms much slower than 70 m/s, while geometric restrictions and the increasing influence of the exact shape of the velocity distribution on potential systematic frequency shifts place an upper limit to the useful Ramsey zone separation. For typical atom velocities of 100 m/s and a zone separation of 1 m one obtains an interaction time of 10 ms or a resonance linewidth of 50 Hz. This line has to be resolved with a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of the order of $10^{6}$ to obtain the uncertainties of today’s optically-pumped thermal beam clocks [3–5].

The advent of laser cooling techniques [6] opened the door to a radically new approach to the interaction time problem. Using a suitable arrangement of laser beams and magnetic fields one can capture caesium atoms from a thermal vapor and at the same time cool them down to a thermal vapor and at the same time cool them down to a few μK above absolute zero temperature (Fig. 1a).

Typically, in a few tenths of a second one can trap 10 million caesium atoms in a cloud a few millimeters in diameter and at a temperature of 2 μK. At this temperature, the average thermal velocity of the caesium atoms is of the order of 1 cm/s, so the cloud of atoms stays together for a relatively long time. This cloud can be launched against gravity using laser light (Fig. 1b). Typically, the launch velocity is chosen such that the atoms reach a height of about one meter before they turn back and fall down the same path they came up. The motion of the cloud resembles that of the water in a pulsed fountain, hence the name “fountain clock”.

On the way up and on the way down the atoms pass through the same microwave cavity (Fig. 1c). The microwave power is chosen such that on each pass a $\pi/2$ pulse is experienced by the atoms. The two spatially separated Ramsey interactions of the thermal beam clock are thus replaced by two interactions in the same position but with reversed direction of travel. After the second interaction the state of the atom can be probed with the help of laser light (Fig. 1d). For a typical launch height around half a meter above the microwave interaction zone it is possible to achieve effective interaction times of more than half a second. The resulting hundred-fold reduction in microwave resonance linewidth (Fig. 2) is the most obvious advantage of a fountain clock over a thermal beam clock.

Equally important is a reduction in the influence of certain systematic effects because the atoms are so slow. Furthermore, the trajectory reversal eliminates the end-to-end cavity phase shift and greatly reduces the influence of distributed cavity phases caused by a non-perfect microwave field inside the cavity.

We will now give a short historical overview of the development of atomic fountain clocks before treating in more detail the operational details of a typical fountain clock, the sources of uncertainty, and recent improvements and trends.

II. A BRIEF HISTORY OF FOUNTAIN CLOCKS

The first experiments exploring the fountain principle were performed by Zacharias [7] in the 1950s, using a thermal atomic beam directed upwards. Unfortunately, the desired selection of the very slowest atoms from the thermal distribution did not work because collisions near the nozzle of the oven practically eliminated this velocity class. A refined proposal was made in 1982 by De Marchi [8], still for a thermal beam source. He predicted that with an optical selection of slow atoms and a special microwave cavity geometry $10^{-11}$ relative uncertainty could
be reached for such a thermal fountain 3 m in height.

The main problem for fountains using thermal beams is that there are just not enough slow atoms in a thermal beam to give a sufficiently strong signal. The situation changed completely when laser-cooling techniques allowed one to prepare cold atom samples containing millions of atoms, and all of them with basically the same velocity. The potential of such laser-cooled samples in a fountain geometry was considered by Hall et al. [9] both for microwave and optical transitions and simultaneously realized by Kasevich et al. [10]. In the latter experiment a laser-cooled sodium cloud was launched by a laser pulse and entered a radio-frequency waveguide where it reached its apogee. While in the waveguide the atoms were illuminated with two \( \pi/2 \) pulses and then fell down and through an ionization detection zone. A width of the central Ramsey fringe of 2 Hz was obtained in this way.

The first fountain for metrological use was developed at the Observatoire de Paris/France [11]. Its design [12] became a standard for almost all subsequently constructed fountain clocks, except for variations in the relative spatial arrangement of the cavities and the detection zone. In the late 1990s the fountains NIST-F1 at the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) in Boulder/USA [13] and CSF1 at Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt (PTB) in Braunschweig/Germany [14] became operational as primary standards. Recently, they were joined by the cesium fountain clocks CsF1 at the Istituto Elettrotecnico Nazionale (IEN) in Torino/Italy [15] and CsF1 at the National Physical Laboratory (NPL) in Teddington/GB [16]. With FO2 and FOM [17] the Observatoire de Paris (Systèmes de Référence Temps-Espace – SYRTE) is operating two more primary fountain clocks. A number of other laboratories are currently operating or developing fountain clocks (see Refs. 18–27 for an incomplete list of examples). Most of these are employing caesium as the active element.

In the following we will mostly concentrate on the seven primary caesium fountain clocks in operation today because they have been the most thoroughly characterized ones and more information is available on them.

III. OPERATION OF A FOUNTAIN CLOCK

Figure 3 shows a simplified setup of the vacuum subsystem of a fountain clock. Six laser beams cross in the center of the preparation zone, where the cold atomic cloud is produced (Sect. III A). Above that follows the detection zone which is traversed by laser beams for fluorescence detection of the falling cloud (Sect. III C). The microwave interactions take place inside a magnetic shield in the presence of a well-defined internal longitudinal magnetic field (Sect. III B).

A. Preparation of the cold atomic cloud

The key scientific achievement which enabled the operation of an atomic fountain was that of laser cooling. A historical review of the development of this technique is given in the 1997 Nobel lectures [28–30]. Here the principles are recalled only briefly insofar as their understanding is needed for the explanation of the operation of an atomic fountain clock.

First of all, a source of caesium atoms is needed. Traditionally it consists of a temperature-controlled caesium reservoir separated from the cooling chamber by a valve. The reservoir is held at a suitable temperature near room temperature in order to obtain a caesium partial pressure on the order of \( 10^{-6} \) Pa in the cooling chamber.

To obtain the required low temperatures of the atom samples in an atomic fountain the atoms are cooled in a magneto-optical trap ("MOT") [31] and/or an optical
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FIG. 3: Simplified setup of the atomic fountain clock

molasses (“OM”) [32, 33]. Common to both configurations is a setup consisting of three mutually orthogonal pairs of counterpropagating laser beams, which are well balanced with respect to their intensities and usually have diameters of about two centimeters. So far two different laser beam geometries have been used. The first one uses two vertical, upward and downward directed beams (z-axis) and four horizontal beams, counterpropagating along the x-axis and the y-axis of a Cartesian coordinate system, respectively. This setup offers the advantage of easy alignment but has the disadvantage that one pair of laser beams overlaps the atomic trajectories. These two laser beams are limited in diameter by the apertures (of typically 1 cm diameter) of the microwave cavity and are particularly critical in connection with laser light shift, i.e., an uncontrolled frequency shifting interaction with the atoms during their ballistic flight. These disadvantages are circumvented by the so-called “(1,1,1)” laser beam configuration, consisting again of three orthogonal pairs of counterpropagating laser beams, but with a different spatial arrangement: when in the previously described setup the laser beams are imagined to run along the six face normals of a cube lying on one of its faces, in the (1,1,1) configuration this cube is balanced on one of its corners. Three laser beams (arranged symmetrically around the vertical axis) are therefore pointing downwards at an angle of XXX° and accordingly three laser beams are pointing upwards.

In Fig. 4 a simplified 133Cs energy level diagram is shown. The frequency νc of the six cooling laser beams is tuned 2Γ-3Γ (Γ = 5.3 MHz, natural transition linewidth) to the red (low-frequency) side of the cyclic |F = 4⟩ → |F' = 5⟩ caesium transition in order to scatter a large number of photons before the atoms are eventually pumped into the other caesium hyperfine ground state |F = 3⟩. Although forbidden by selection rules, this hyperfine pumping process will happen in practice due to small polarization imperfections in connection with off-resonant excitation to the excited state |F' = 4⟩. A repumping laser beam tuned to the caesium transition |F = 3⟩ → |F' = 4⟩ is therefore superimposed on at least one of the six cooling laser beams. It depletes the |F = 3⟩ level so that all atoms can continue to participate in the cooling process.

There exists a large variety of optical setups for providing all the necessary laser beams for fountain clock operation and here is clearly not the place to discuss them in detail. Generally speaking, such a setup has to provide enough power for the six cooling laser beams in order to obtain for each beam an intensity of at least several mW/cm². Additionally a few mW/cm² intensity of repumping light is needed for the cooling region. For the detection region (see below) a few mW/cm² intensity of the |F = 4⟩ → |F' = 5⟩ caesium transition and a very low intensity repumping laser beam are needed. Laser linewidths of a few MHz are sufficient for cooling and repumping but the detection laser linewidth should be at most a few 100 kHz because otherwise there will be too much noise on the detected number of atoms to achieve a good short-term stability of the fountain clock.

FIG. 4: Simplified 133Cs energy level diagram (not to scale) showing the hyperfine splitting of the 6^2S_{1/2} ground state and the 6^2P_{3/2} excited state. The excitation lines for cooling and repumping, respectively, are indicated by arrows.
The optical setup has to provide the means of changing the laser light power and frequency in a precisely controlled way in order to properly cool, launch, and detect the atoms. Finally, all the laser light has to be blocked completely during the interaction of the atoms with the microwave field in order to guarantee that the microwave transition frequency is not shifted by the ac Stark effect [35]. For reasons of compactness, low power consumption, reliability, and ease of use most optical setups use exclusively laser diode systems. For details of the individual setups we refer to the relevant references (see, e.g., [15, 16, 22, 36, 37]).

Essentially two trapping configurations are employed in the existing fountains. One makes use of both a MOT and an OM phase, the other starts with an OM phase directly.

The working principle of a MOT relies on differential excitation of magnetic ground state sublevels depending on the position of the atoms in the intersection region of the six cooling laser beams. This is accomplished by the combination of a spherical magnetic quadrupole field centered on the intersection region with properly circularly polarized cooling laser beams. The magnetic field gradient is usually generated by two coils operated in an anti-Helmholtz configuration. Typically $10^7$-$10^8$ atoms are trapped and cooled in a volume of about 1 mm$^3$. The atom number is mainly limited by the available laser power and laser beam diameters.

During an initial MOT phase the number of trapped atoms increases quickly and then saturates with a time constant governed by the caesium partial pressure in the cooling region (and possibly the background pressure if it is not low enough). Typically after a few tenths of a second, when enough atoms have been collected, the quadrupole field is switched off. This signals the beginning of the OM phase where the atoms are further cooled.

Afterwards the atoms are launched by the “moving molasses” technique. The pattern formed by the interference of the trapping beams moving upwards and downwards can be made to move at a velocity $c\delta\nu/\nu_\ell$ when the upward-directed laser beam is tuned to a frequency $\nu_\ell + \delta\nu$ and the downward-directed laser beam to $\nu_\ell - \delta\nu$. In this upward-moving interference pattern the atoms are accelerated within milliseconds to velocities of several meters per second. As opposed to launching of the atoms by radiation pressure of the upward-directed beam alone, the atoms are heated much less by the moving molasses, which is essential for fountain operation.

The last cooling stage consists of a sub-Doppler cooling phase. In the absence of sub-Doppler cooling mechanisms the cloud temperature would be limited to 127 μK [38], the so-called Doppler limit. This is far too hot for a fountain clock to operate. So an optimized polarization gradient cooling phase [39, 40] is implemented, during which the intensity of the cooling lasers is ramped down within a millisecond to about 0.5 mW/cm$^2$, together with a simultaneous increase of the detuning (10Γ-12Γ). Detailed descriptions and explanations of the sub-Doppler cooling processes involved can be found in Ref. 38. When the lasers are finally switched off altogether the caesium atom temperature is about 1-2 μK. The post-cooling is applied only after the launch of the atoms as the obtainable acceleration is larger at high laser intensity and small detuning.

Skipping the MOT phase and starting with an OM for the preparation of a sample of cold atoms reduces the number of cooled atoms by roughly a factor of ten. In this case a so-called linear polarization configuration of the cooling laser beams is more efficient. This kind of cloud preparation offers several advantages. Firstly, the atomic cloud is larger, filling the cooling laser beam intersection region, which considerably reduces the atomic density for the same number of atoms compared to fountain operation using a MOT. Hence the collisional frequency shift (Sect. IV B 9) is reduced. Secondly, the increased atomic cloud size results in a better filling of the aperture of the microwave cavity for the atoms on their way up, which in turn results in a better compensation of transverse cavity phase gradients as they cancel out more completely for a more homogeneous trajectory distribution. Thirdly, the atomic cloud size does not depend on the number of atoms loaded (as it generally does in a MOT), thus removing a complication in the determination of the collisional frequency shift [41].

A compromise has been chosen for the operation of IEN-CsF1, in which after the MOT phase a free expansion-recapture sequence lowers the initial cloud density [15]. Here the cooling laser beams are alternately switched on and off, taking advantage of the relatively high temperature of the atoms before the sub-Doppler cooling phase, in order to expand the atomic cloud.

In another approach a sequence of alternatingly switching off the vertical and horizontal cooling laser beams is used to heat the atoms which had been captured in a MOT before, again in order to extend the atomic cloud before the final polarization gradient cooling phase [22].

Since at the end of the post-cooling phase the repumping laser is switched off slightly later than the cooling laser, the atoms end up distributed among the atomic substates $|4, m_F⟩$, where $m_F = -4, -3, \ldots, +4$ indicates the magnetic substate. As the next step a further state selection process can be applied to the atoms in order to reduce the background signal and the collisional shift due to atoms in states with $m_F \neq 0$ which do not take part in the “clock transition” $|4, m_F = 0⟩ \rightarrow |3, m_F = 0⟩$. This removal of atoms with $m_F \neq 0$ is a big advantage of fountain clocks over thermal-beam clocks also because it reduces effects like Rabi and Ramsey pulling and Majorana transitions [1]. For the state selection the $|4, m_F = 0⟩$ atoms are first transferred by a microwave $\pi$ pulse using the clock transition to the state $|3, m_F = 0⟩$. This is often done in a microwave state selection cavity which the atoms pass before they enter the Ramsey cavity for the first time. Afterwards all the atoms which remained
in the $|F = 4\rangle$ state are pushed away by a laser beam tuned to the $|F = 4\rangle \rightarrow |F' = 5\rangle$ transition which is either pointing downward or horizontal. This results in an atomic sample of atoms all in state $|3, m_F = 0\rangle$ entering the Ramsey cavity, where subsequently the clock transition $|3, m_F = 0\rangle \rightarrow |4, m_F = 0\rangle$ is excited.

**B. Microwave interaction**

One of the most critical parts of a fountain clock is the microwave cavity for the Ramsey interaction, which the atoms pass twice, once on their way up and again on their way down. Much work has been done on different realizations of these delicate devices (see, for example, [42–45]).

Obviously there is no end-to-end cavity phase shift in a fountain clock, unlike the situation in a thermal beam clock [1, 2]. However, as the atomic cloud spreads during its ballistic flight, the atoms will in general cross the microwave field in the cavity at different positions on their ways up and down. In order to minimize the effect of transverse phase variations on the atomic transition frequency, cylindrical microwave cavities with the field oscillating in the TE$_{011}$ mode have been used in fountain clocks (see, e.g., [15, 16, 36, 37, 46]). This mode (indicated in Fig. 5) exhibits particularly low losses (high intrinsic quality factor $Q$) which results in a particularly small running-wave component in the cavity. The dependence of the microwave phase on the transverse position of the atomic trajectory is therefore small, as well. For a further reduction of the position dependence it is necessary to feed the microwave power symmetrically into the cavity so that microwave phase gradients are cancelled to first order. So far feeding by two ([16, 36, 46]) and four ports ([15, 37]) have been realized. Up to now the uncertainty contributions due to the transverse phase variations in the above-mentioned cavity realizations are estimated to be at the level of a few $10^{-10}$ or less.

The microwave signal feeding the cavity for probing of the atomic transition is either synthesized from a low-noise BVA quartz-crystal oscillator (VCO) or from a cryogenic sapphire oscillator (CSO) [17], the latter exhibiting extraordinary frequency instability around $10^{-15}$ up to 800 s before a slow drift sets in [46]. For the operation of most of the fountains the VCO or the CSO is weakly phase-locked to a hydrogen maser which serves as a frequency reference for the fountain clock. By proper multiplication and mixing of the VCO (or the CSO) frequency with the frequency of a (usually commercial) synthesizer the 9.2-GHz microwave signal for the interrogation is generated. By square-wave modulation of the synthesizer output frequency from shot to shot of the fountain cycle, the atoms are probed alternatingly at the left and at the right side of the central Ramsey fringe (inset in Fig. 2). The two transition probabilities for each side are subsequently compared and if they are not equal the synthesizer frequency is corrected. In this case the series of correction values gives the relative frequency difference between the fountain and the frequency reference.

Alternatively the fountain output signal, i.e., the difference between the transition probabilities at both sides of the central Ramsey fringe, can be used directly to control the frequency of a VCO in a servo-loop, as it is described in Ref. 36. In this case the VCO directly represents the fountain frequency and the VCO frequency has to be measured against an external frequency reference in order to obtain the relative frequency difference between the fountain and its reference.

In any case the measured fountain frequency differs from the unperturbed caesium transition frequency $\nu_0 = 9,192,631,770$ Hz by the sum of all systematic frequency shifts. Usually this difference is of the order of 1 mHz (for comparison, the correction amounts to about 2 Hz in the case of CS2, a thermal beam primary standard) and its exact evaluation and the determination of its corresponding systematic uncertainty is the main difficulty when a fountain shall be operated as a primary clock.

As in conventional caesium clocks, for the definition of a quantization axis and for removing the degeneracy of the magnetic substates a magnetic “C-field” is applied along the interaction region, i.e., from the Ramsey cavity region up to the apogee of the atomic ballistic flight. In order to ensure a C-field of high homogeneity the C-field is generated by a long, highly uniformly wound coil surrounded by multiple layers of a high-permeability magnetic shield. Due to the long interaction time in fountain clocks the Rabi envelopes of the microwave transition patterns are much narrower than in conventional caesium beam clocks. For this reason the C-field strength can be chosen much lower and is usually in the range of only a few hundred nanoteslas.

**C. Detection and servo system**

In a fountain clock the detection of the atoms is performed via the fluorescence light of the atoms which have passed the Ramsey cavity twice. Generally both kinds of atoms, those in $|F = 4\rangle$ and those in $|F = 3\rangle$, are detected separately.
The usual detection setup consists of three horizontal laser beams. When the falling atoms pass through a first transverse standing-wave light field tuned to the $|F = 4\rangle \rightarrow |F' = 5\rangle$ transition the fluorescence photons emitted by the atoms in the $|F = 4\rangle$ state are imaged onto a photodiode. The time-integrated photodetector signal, $N_4$, is proportional to the number of atoms in the state $|F = 4\rangle$.

From the shape of the time-dependent photodetector signal one can infer the axial velocity spread of the atoms in the cloud, which indicates the corresponding kinetic temperature. The $|F = 4\rangle$ atoms are then pushed away by a transverse traveling wave field tuned to the $|F = 4\rangle \rightarrow |F' = 5\rangle$ transition. Only the atoms in the state $|F = 3\rangle$ remain. These are then pumped to the state $|F = 4\rangle$ by a second horizontal detection laser beam, positioned slightly below the first and tuned to the $|F = 3\rangle \rightarrow |F' = 4\rangle$ transition. Depending on fountain design, this second laser beam can be spatially separate from or superposed onto the third horizontal detection laser beam, which is again tuned to the $|F = 4\rangle \rightarrow |F' = 5\rangle$ transition. By the combined interaction with the second and third detection laser beam the atoms are thus first pumped to the state $|F = 4\rangle$ and are then detected by their fluorescence on the $|F = 4\rangle \rightarrow |F' = 5\rangle$ transition with the help of a second photodetector, giving a measure $N_3$ for the number of atoms that arrived in the detection zone in the state $|F = 3\rangle$.

In the servo system the ratio $N = N_4/(N_3 + N_4)$ is calculated. This ratio $N$ is independent of the shot-to-shot fluctuations in atom number, which typically lie in the percent range, and is used as the input signal to the microwave-frequency servo loop.

**IV. UNCERTAINTY BUDGET**

A. Statistical (type A) uncertainties

Generally in an atomic clock several statistical uncertainty contributions sum up to a total statistical uncertainty $\nu_A$. Usually the important noise contributions are well characterized by white noise processes. In this case a reasonable description of the statistical uncertainty obtained after a measurement time $\tau$ is given by the Allan standard deviation $\sigma_{\nu}(\tau)$ which is proportional to $\tau^{-1/2}$ [47].

In a well-designed fountain clock the following noise contributions have to be considered [48]:

(a) quantum projection noise [49]: resulting from the fact that a fountain clock is operated alternatingly at the left and right sides of the central Ramsey fringe where the transition probability is neither 0 nor 1;

(b) photon shot noise: resulting from the statistical detection of a large number of photons per atom;

(c) electronic detection noise: resulting from the electronic detection process by typically a photodetector in combination with a transimpedance amplifier;

(d) local oscillator noise: resulting from a downconversion process of local oscillator frequency noise components because of the non-continuous probing of the atomic transition frequency in a pulsed fountain (“Dick effect”) [50–53].

Based on the consideration of these noise contributions the relative frequency instability expressed by the Allan standard deviation can be written as [48]:

$$
\sigma_{\nu}(\tau) = \frac{1}{\pi \nu_{\text{at}}} \sqrt{\frac{T_c}{\tau}} \left( \frac{1}{N_{\text{at}}} + \frac{1}{N_{\text{ph}}\epsilon_c} + \frac{2\sigma_{\text{ph}}^2}{N_{\text{at}}^2} + \gamma \right)^{1/2}.
$$

In (1) $\tau$ is the measurement time in seconds, $T_c$ the fountain cycle duration and $\tau > T_c$, $\nu_{\text{at}} = \nu_0/\Delta \nu$ the atomic frequency quality factor with $\Delta \nu$ the width of the Ramsey fringe and $\nu_0$ the caesium hyperfine frequency. $N_{\text{at}}$ is the number of detected atoms, $\epsilon_c$ is the average number of photons scattered per atom at the detection and $\epsilon_c$ is the photon collection efficiency. $\sigma_{\text{ph}}^2$ is the uncorrelated rms fluctuation of the atom number per detection channel.

The first term in the brackets of (1) indicates the atomic projection noise, the second the photon shot-noise of the detection fluorescence pulses, the third the noise of the detection system. Finally, $\gamma$ is the contribution of the frequency noise of the local oscillator.

When detecting high numbers of photons per atom and using state-of-the-art low-noise electronic components, the noise contributions (b) and (c) can be reduced to such a level that the noise sources (a) and (d) remain as the dominant contributions. It can be seen from (1) that in this case a sufficiently high atom number results in a frequency instability limited by the noise spectrum of the local oscillator. With the best currently available voltage-controlled oscillators (VCOs) the relative frequency instability is thus limited for typical fountain duty cycles to the order of $10^{-13}(\tau/s)^{-1/2}$. A much better frequency instability of a fountain in the low $10^{-14}(\tau/s)^{-1/2}$ range was achieved by use of a cryogenic sapphire oscillator [17, 46, 48]. In this way quantum projection noise limited operation could be demonstrated due to the superior noise properties of the cryogenic oscillator [48].

It should be mentioned that the above considerations assume the use of a state selection process as described in Section III A. Without a state selection process an additional noise source has to be taken into account, the partition noise because of the varying occupation of the magnetic substates, as described in Ref. 54.

Finally it should be pointed out that a small frequency instability is indispensable for the evaluation of several systematic uncertainty contributions at the level of $10^{-16}$ or below. This becomes apparent in the light of the fact that a $10^{-13}(\tau/s)^{-1/2}$ instability still results in a
The superposition states with the same frequency shifts can occur because atoms can get into different heights. In connection with Majorana transitions large frequency shifts due to cavity pulling [57] can occur in the presence of non-zero and asymmetric (with respect to \( m_F \)) populations of the \(|F, m_F \neq 0\rangle\) substates when the atoms enter the cavity. Generally, the state selection process (see Sect. III A) in a fountain clock strongly reduces the impact of these frequency pulling effects to well below \(10^{-16}\).

B. Systematic (type B) uncertainties

In a fountain clock the frequency shifting physical phenomena are in principle very similar to those in a conventional caesium beam clock [1]. Detailed descriptions of these effects can be found in Ref. 55. Here we will just summarize them and indicate the main particularities of fountain clocks. Detailed discussions of the effects in individual fountain clocks are compiled in the published formal evaluations, for example in Refs. 15, 16, 36, 37, 46. The exemplary uncertainties given in the following subsections have all to be considered as relative frequency uncertainties.

1. Second-order Zeeman effect

As in conventional caesium clocks [1], in a fountain clock the applied magnetic C-field results in a second-order shift of the clock transition, which has to be corrected for due to its large size relative to the overall uncertainty of a fountain clock. The C-field increases the clock transition frequency by

\[
\Delta f_c = 0.0427 \text{Hz} (B_C/\mu T)^2,
\]

where \(B_C\) is the magnetic flux density of the C-field, so that almost \(5 \times 10^{-15}\) relative frequency shift is obtained for the typical magnetic C-field strength of \(0.1 \mu T\). The determination of the relative frequency correction and its uncertainty is based on experimental data on the mean C-field strength, its inhomogeneity, and its temporal stability.

It is straightforward to determine the correction \(f_c\) by measuring the transition frequency \(f_Z\) of a first-order field sensitive transition, usually the \(|4, m_F = 1\rangle \rightarrow |3, m_F = 1\rangle\) transition. In contrast to a conventional beam clock, an atomic fountain provides the advantageous possibility of mapping the C-field by launching the atoms to different heights \(h\) and to calculate from \(f_Z(h)\) the magnetic flux density \(B_C(h)\) along the atomic trajectories. This gives direct access to the homogeneity of the C-field [54].

2. Majorana transitions

Majorana transitions (\(\Delta F = 0, \Delta m_F = \pm 1\)) between the \(m_F\) substates within a hyperfine ground state \(|F = 4\rangle\) or \(|F = 3\rangle\) can be induced near zero crossings of the magnetic field strength [56]. In real caesium clock cavities it cannot be avoided that due to the field geometry some \(\Delta F = \pm 1, \Delta m_F = \pm 1\) transitions take place besides the designated clock transition, albeit with a small probability. In connection with Majorana transitions large frequency shifts can occur [57] because atoms can get into superposition states with the same \(F\) quantum number but different \(m_F\) quantum numbers. For these states the hyperfine transition frequency is in general different from the clock transition frequency, with a resulting overall frequency shift. The uncertainty estimate due to Majorana transitions is typically quoted as well below \(10^{-16}\).

3. Cavity related shifts: residual first-order Doppler effect and cavity pulling

A general advantage of an atomic fountain microwave frequency standard is that the atoms cross the same microwave cavity twice. If the atomic trajectories were perfectly vertical, frequency shifts due to axial and radial cavity phase variations would be perfectly cancelled as each atom would interact with the field once with velocity \(v\) (upwards) and once later with \(-v\) (downwards). It is the transverse residual thermal velocity and a possible misalignment of the launching direction that cause a spread of the trajectories between the first and the second passage through the cavity. In this case, a non-vanishing transverse phase variation of the cavity field can give rise to a residual first-order Doppler frequency shift, unless the trajectories are distributed around the vertical symmetry axis in a favorable way.

Current cavities of evaluated fountain clocks are estimated to exhibit uncertainty contributions of the order of at most several \(10^{-16}\) due to transverse phase variations [15, 16, 36, 37, 46]. Sometimes these uncertainty estimates are the result of worst-case considerations and might be reduced in the future.

Usually in an atomic fountain the atom number and the loaded quality factor of the cavity are such that the operating conditions are far from maser oscillation [55]. Therefore, at low cavity detuning and optimum microwave excitation the frequency shift due to cavity pulling is usually negligible, according to Eq. (5.6.123) of Ref. 55. Since cavity pulling is proportional to atom number it is corrected for automatically when the collisional shift correction (see Sect. VI) is applied.

4. Rabi and Ramsey frequency pulling

Frequency shifts due to Rabi and Ramsey frequency pulling [1] can occur in the presence of non-zero and asymmetric (with respect to \(m_F = 0\)) populations of the \(|F, m_F \neq 0\rangle\) substates when the atoms enter the Ramsey cavity. Generally, the state selection process (see Sect. III A) in a fountain clock strongly reduces the impact of these frequency pulling effects to well below \(10^{-16}\).

5. Microwave leakage

The effect of microwave leakage [1] due to exposure of the atoms to a residual traveling microwave field outside
the cavity is again somewhat reduced in a fountain clock compared to conventional beam clocks because of the almost symmetric trajectories of the atoms going up with the same but opposite velocity as falling down. However, due to the fact that there is no perfect symmetry also in a fountain clock, microwave leakage can be of concern and its possible effect has to be carefully analyzed. Typical uncertainties evaluated so far fall in the low $10^{-16}$ range.

6. Electronics and microwave spectral impurities

The frequency shifting effects due to the electronics and due to microwave spectral impurities are essentially the same in a fountain clock and in a conventional beam clock so that we refer here to Ref. 1. However, the lower total systematic uncertainties of fountain clocks set much tighter limits on the performance characteristics of the microwave synthesis devices used for fountain clock operation.

7. Light shift

The interaction of atoms with laser light during the ballistic flight in and above the microwave cavity entails a frequency shift through the ac Stark effect (light shift) \[^{35}\]. To prevent this effect, usually the laser light is blocked by mechanical shutters. As an added precaution, the laser light frequency can be far detuned during this phase of fountain operation. By intentionally increasing and measuring the effect and by effective controls of the shutter action the corresponding uncertainty can typically be reduced at least to the very low $10^{-16}$ region.

8. Blackbody shift

During their microwave interaction (including the ballistic flight above the Ramsey cavity) the atoms are subjected to thermal radiation of the vacuum enclosure. If this radiation gives rise to a spectral power density distribution which is equivalent to that of a black body, according to Refs. 58, 59 the clock transition frequency is shifted by

$$f_{bb} = -1.573(3) \times 10^{-4} \text{ Hz} \left( \frac{T}{300 \text{ K}} \right)^4 \times \left[ 1 + 0.014 \left( \frac{T}{300 \text{ K}} \right)^2 \right]$$

(2)

for a vacuum enclosure at temperature $T$. Hence, for a caesium clock at room temperature the relative frequency shift is of the order of $-17 \times 10^{-15}$.

The first numeric coefficient in (2), $K_{\text{Stark}} = -1.573(3) \times 10^{-4}$ Hz, is a result of a dc electric Stark shift measurement performed with a caesium fountain in Paris \[^{59}\]. The uncertainty of this value of $K_{\text{Stark}}$ itself is not likely to become a limiting factor for fountain clocks operated near room temperature or slightly above.

A confirmation of (2) was obtained earlier in a true ac electric Stark shift measurement by varying the temperature of a conventional caesium beam clock at PTB \[^{60–62}\]. However, the uncertainty of $K_{\text{Stark}}$ obtained in the PTB experiment was significantly larger. Recently $K_{\text{Stark}}$ was remeasured using atomic caesium fountain clocks and differing results were obtained. While an Italian group obtained both experimental and theoretical values of $K_{\text{Stark}}$ consistent with each other but differing from the value indicated in (2) \[^{63, 64}\], a new measurement with a fountain in Paris confirmed the old value \[^{65}\]. Moreover, another recent theoretical evaluation claims to confirm the former value as well \[^{66}\]. The current, somewhat unclear situation is illustrated by Fig. 6, where the published experimental and theoretical results for $K_{\text{Stark}}$ are compiled. A clear solution of this problem would be highly desirable because the black-body shift is a large correction compared to the uncertainty of a fountain clock.

9. Collisional shift

A major source of uncertainty is the frequency shift due to collisions among the cold atoms in the cloud \[^{72}\]. The collisional cross-section was found to be strongly dependent on energy (i.e., the average temperature of the cloud) \[^{73}\]. The problem is particularly serious for caesium because it was found that its collisional cross-section is unusually large at the low cloud temperatures used in a fountain. Conceptually the simplest solution would be to choose another element. For instance, in rubidium the collisional cross-section is almost two orders of magnitude
lower [74]. Indeed, rubidium fountain clocks have been built where the reduction of collisional shift uncertainty was one of the motivations. Rubidium fountain clocks will be treated below (Sect. VII).

A number of schemes have been devised to reduce the collisional shift or at least its contribution to the uncertainty budget of the caesium fountain clock. These will be discussed in more detail in Section VI below. We should also note that the experimental signatures of cold collision shift and cavity pulling are very similar, something that can be used to partially tune the overall effect away when the isotope-specific relative shift rates happen to be suitable, like in the case of $^{87}$Rb [75].

10. Background gas collisions

Contrary to the effect of cold collisions between the caesium atoms, the effect of residual gas collisions in fountain clocks is estimated to be well below $10^{-16}$ as typical vacuum pressures in the ballistic flight region are at most in the low $10^{-7}$ Pa range.

11. Time dilatation: relativistic Doppler effect

Special relativity predicts that due to time dilatation the clock frequency observed in the laboratory frame is reduced by $f_D \approx \nu_0 \langle v^2 \rangle / (2c^2)$ with $\langle v^2 \rangle$ the mean quadratic velocity of the atoms above the microwave cavity and $c$ the velocity of light. Typically in a fountain clock $f_D/\nu_0$ is of the order of $10^{-17}$, so that in contrast to thermal beam clocks time dilatation and the associated uncertainty contribution can be neglected.

12. Gravitational redshift

Finally it should be mentioned that even though the gravitational redshift [1] is not relevant for the realization of the proper second of a clock, its knowledge is necessary for comparing remote clocks and for contributing to international atomic time (TAI). Hence the mean height of the atoms above the geoid during their ballistic flight above the microwave cavity center has to be determined with a typical uncertainty of 1 m, corresponding to a frequency uncertainty of $10^{-16}$. In principle, a limitation is given by the accuracy with which the local gravitational potential can be determined. Even for high-altitude laboratories like NIST in Boulder ($\approx 1630$ m above sea level) the correction can be determined with a relative uncertainty of $3 \times 10^{-17}$ [76]. At this relative uncertainty the gravitational redshift will probably not become a limiting factor for clocks based on microwave transitions.

13. Summary of systematic effects

There are other frequency shifting effects (dc Stark shift, Bloch-Siegert shift, [1]), which can be estimated to be less than $10^{-17}$ in a fountain clock. In conclusion it can be stated that the main contributions to the systematic uncertainty are of the order of a few $10^{-16}$ or less. As the individual systematic uncertainty contributions can be assumed to be linearly independent, the resulting total systematic uncertainty is the square root of the sum of squares of the individual contributions. Specific examples are presented in Sect. VIII in connection with the state of the art in fountain clocks.

V. FIGHTING THE DICK EFFECT

A serious limitation of the short-term stability of an atomic fountain clock is given by the phase noise of the local oscillator from which the 9-GHz signal is derived. Any phase excursions of this oscillator while no atoms are in or above the microwave cavity will go undetected and therefore add some of the phase noise of the local oscillator to the output of the clock. A quantitative description of the Dick effect is beyond the scope of this review; it can be found in the references [50–53].

We will now discuss recently developed ways of reducing the influence of the Dick effect. One can use a better local oscillator or reduce the dead time of the fountain, i.e., by launching the next cloud as quickly as possible after the previous one. However, some dead time is unavoidable in a standard pulsed fountain because one has to wait until the detection process is finished before the next cloud can be launched. A continuous-beam fountain clock, however, would be practically immune from the Dick effect.

A. High-stability cryogenic oscillator

Conceptually the easiest way to reduce the influence of the Dick effect on the short-term instability is to use a more stable local oscillator. Using a cryogenic sapphire oscillator developed at the University of Western Australia [77] and a specially designed low-noise microwave synthesis chain the group at SYRTE was able to reach a fractional frequency instability of only $1.6 \times 10^{-14} \langle \tau/s \rangle^{-1/2}$ [46], which was only limited by quantum projection noise [48].

Let us note here that there have been proposals to use spin-squeezed atomic samples in order to lower the projection-noise limit [78]. Today it looks, however, as if these schemes, devised with idealized conditions in mind, would be difficult to transfer to an actual clock.

Despite the additional effort of liquid helium refrigeration required for low-noise cryogenic sapphire oscillators, today several metrology laboratories worldwide are developing such systems. It will be interesting to see
whether designs based on closed-cycle coolers [79] rather than liquid helium refrigeration can one day deliver the performance needed for state-of-the-art fountain clocks.

B. Loading from an atomic beam

Another strategy to reduce the influence of the Dick effect is to speed up the loading and preparation of the cold atomic cloud, in order to reduce the fraction of the fountain cycle where no atoms are in or above the microwave cavity. MOT or molasses loading times can be greatly shortened when the atoms are not collected from the residual background vapor but from a slow atomic beam instead. For instance, both FO1 and FO2 are equipped [17] with a chirp-slowed atomic beam [80]. Typically, for the same loading time a molasses loaded from a slow beam captures more than ten times as many atoms as a molasses loaded from the background vapor.

In the case of FO2 the slow atomic beam is in addition transversely collimated by a two-dimensional optical molasses [17, 81]. Not only does the transverse collimation reduce the background pressure of atoms in the preparation zone but also does it prolong the useful life of one filling of the oven because the same atomic flux can be obtained with a lower oven temperature. For instance, in the case of FO2, the collimation alone has reduced the capture time from 900 ms to 300 ms and increased the lifetime of one caesium charge approximately ten-fold [81].

Loading from a slow beam is indispensable when implementing a multi-toss scheme, see Section VI A. By choosing a suitable mode of operation, dead-times can be greatly reduced by this approach, as well.

C. The continuous fountain clock

The Dick effect can be all but eliminated when the fountain clock is operated in a continuous mode rather than pulsed [82]. At the same time, because of the continuous detection a lower-density beam can be used, reducing the uncertainty due to cold collisions. Development of such a fountain was undertaken at the Observatoire Cantonal in Neuchâtel/CH [18]. Design goals are a relative short-term instability of $7 \times 10^{-14} (\tau/s)^{-1/2}$ (using a quartz oscillator as a local oscillator for the 9-GHz synthesis chain) and a relative uncertainty of $10^{-15}$.

A continuous fountain poses a number of technical and experimental challenges. First of all, since the preparation and the detection zones have to be spatially distinct the atoms have to fly along a parabolic path (Fig. 7). This requires a special geometry for the main cavity (Fig. 8). Unfortunately, in this way one loses one of the big advantages of the pulsed fountain design, where the atoms retrace their path through the microwave field and thus mostly cancel any end-to-end phase shifts in the cavity. In the continuous fountain a special device allows one to rotate the cavity around the vertical axis by precisely 180°, so that an effective beam reversal occurs [83], in analogy to the procedure in thermal beam clocks [2].

The openings in the cavity for the atomic beam fix the geometry of the parabolic trajectory, so that only one toss height is possible—making, for instance, the characterization of the magnetic field inhomogeneity more difficult than in the pulsed case. And finally, the suppression of stray light from the preparation zone becomes more complicated. Unlike in the pulsed case the laser light cannot be switched off during the free-flight phase of the atoms, so for the continuous fountain one resorts to mechanical shutters inside the vacuum vessel. A wheel with partially overlapping filters absorbing the laser radiation is rotating rapidly through the atomic beam in such a way that the direct line of sight from the detection zone into the free-flight zone passes through at least one filter at any one time. Not only does this chop thin slices out of the...
continuous atomic beam but also does one have to have a
motor inside the ultra-high vacuum system—which also
has to be non-magnetic!

Details of all design issues can be found in the thesis
by Joyet [83]. Ramsey fringes 1 Hz wide have been seen
with the Neuchâtel continuous fountain clock before it
was transferred to METAS in Bern/CH [18]. There it is
currently in the process of being made operational.

For a second continuous fountain in Neuchâtel a Ra-
man sideband cooling technique is under development
[84, 85] in order to improve the collimation of the con-
tinuous beam and therefore increase the atomic flux reach-
ing the detection zone [86]. This technique might also be
useful for pulsed fountains in order to be able to reduce
the atom density (i.e., the collisional shift) while at the
same time keeping the same number of detected atoms
(i.e., signal-to-noise ratio).

VI. FIGHTING THE COLLISIONAL SHIFT

Traditionally one of the dominating systematic un-
certainties of a fountain clock is due to the collisions of
the cold atoms within the cloud, as explained above
(Sect. IV B 9). In principle it is easy to reduce this un-
certainty by reducing the density of the atomic cloud
and therefore the collision rate. A reduced number of
atoms, however, reduces the detected signal and there-
fore the signal-to-noise ratio and the short-term stability
(Eq. (1)).

Recent developments are helping to ease this trade-off
problem for the case of caesium. The problem of loss
of signal for low-density clouds can be circumvented by
controlling the preparation of the atoms such that more
than one cloud at a time is traveling through the vacuum
system. We will present these ideas first, before covering
the extrapolation methods, where the clock’s output fre-
quency is measured for two or more effective densities of
the atomic cloud and then extrapolated to zero density.
As discussed above the continuous fountain also allows
one to reduce the influence of collisional shift.

A. Multi-cloud fountains

One way of decreasing the collisional shift without re-
ducing the number of detected atoms is to have several
atomic clouds of correspondingly lower atom number and
density traveling inside the vacuum tube at any time.
Two realizations of this idea have been proposed.

The first is called the “juggling fountain” [87]. Just
like a human juggler keeps several balls in the air si-
multaneously, some of them going up while others come
down, one can continuously launch atomic clouds with
a time separation smaller than the flight time of an in-
dividual cloud. Since each cloud is less dense than in
the standard single-cloud case the internal collision rate
is reduced as desired. However, the individual “balls”
penetrate each other in free flight, which could in prin-
ciple give rise to additional collisions. The clever trick in
the juggling fountain is to choose the timing and launch
velocities of the individual clouds such that each time
two of them meet their relative energies fulfill the condi-
tion for a Ramsauer resonance. As a consequence, they
fly through each other basically without scattering, i.e.,
without additional collisional shifts. It is straightforward
to do this with just two “balls”, but amazingly it can also
be done with more than two balls [88].

However, the multi-ball scheme requires a precise con-
trol of the launch times, velocities, and densities of the
individual balls. Furthermore it relies on a delicate can-
cellation of the collisional shifts in successive two-ball col-
lisions, making use of the energy dependence of sign and
amplitude of the shift [88].

A much more robust multi-ball scheme has been pro-
posed by Levi et al. [89]. Once again several balls (up to
ten or so) are launched in quick succession but with suc-
cessively decreasing launch height (Fig. 9). These balls
never meet in the free-flight zone above the cavity where
collisions would lead to a frequency shift. But they all
come together in the detection zone—where cold colli-
sions do not matter anymore—to produce a strong sig-

FIG. 9: Trajectories of the multiple atomic clouds in the
multi-toss scheme. The upper dashed line denotes the po-
sition of the cavity, the lower one that of the detection zone.
Figure adapted from Ref. 90.
sequence while the balls of the previous sequence are still in flight, thus greatly reducing the dead time [90]. Preliminary tests of this scheme with up to seven balls have been performed at NIST [90]. Further consideration is needed regarding the correction of systematic frequency shifts, which might be different for different balls in the sequence because of their different launch heights and travel times.

**B. Extrapolation methods**

Since the frequency shift due to cold collisions is linear in effective atom density, one can extrapolate the measured frequencies obtained with clouds of different densities to zero density using a linear regression. This is the method currently employed by all primary fountain clocks. Since the actual density of the cloud is not readily accessible in the experiment, one substitutes the number $N_\text{at}$ of detected atoms instead. This, of course, assumes that there is a strict proportionality between $N_\text{at}$ and effective density.

The actual experimental practice in the various laboratories differs in the way that clouds of different densities are prepared.

PTB's CSF1 is operated under optimum conditions during the whole frequency evaluation period. For about 10 days before and after such a period CSF1 is switched daily or half-daily between operation at standard atom number and at about five-fold increased $N_\text{at}$, where the latter is obtained by increasing the length of the initial MOT phase [36]. The length of the $\approx 10$-day epoch was chosen so that the instabilities of the local H-masers, which are used as frequency references, do not play a role anymore. A disadvantage of this method is that despite the expansion during the molasses phase one cannot exclude a difference in the spatial distribution of the atoms within the cloud for the two atom number regimes, which in general could change the proportionality factor between effective density and detected atom number. An additional contribution is therefore included in the uncertainty budget.

At NPL's CsF1, the collisional shift is monitored during the course of a frequency evaluation, by switching between two different atom numbers every few shots [16]. The switching is done by varying the microwave power or detuning for the state-selection pulse. Once again it cannot be excluded that the density distribution of the state-selected cloud changes between high and low atom number due to inhomogeneities of the microwave excitation.

For IEN-CsF1 the MOT loading parameters are changed to obtain two atom numbers differing by a factor of 3 [15]. Switching between the two regimes occurs on an hourly basis, a schedule chosen so that the drift of the local H-maser does not affect the measurement.

The procedure for NIST-F1 combines elements of the previously described ones. Cs pressure, molasses load time, and molasses laser power are adjusted to change the number of atoms launched upwards [91]. A servo loop slightly adjusts the microwave power in the selection cavity so as to keep the detected atom number constant. Because of the availability of a very stable local time scale, AT1E, the collisional shift determination can be stretched over many days, with measurements at medium, high, and again medium densities where each phase lasts for a few days. These are bracketed by 10-day measurements at low density. Because of the stable local time scale these two 10-day stretches can be stitched together into one 20-day run. Another specialty of NIST-F1 is that it is operated at very low density where the collisional shift itself is reduced—and with it the absolute uncertainty of that shift. The concomitant reduction in stability can be tolerated in view of the noise on the time-transfer link to other laboratories. All one needs to do is to make sure that at the end of the 20 days of effective measurement time the fountain instability has reached a level low enough not to limit the total uncertainty including the time-transfer uncertainty.

At SYRTE fountain operation is switched back and forth between two different atom densities, first for about 50–100 shots at a detected atom number $N_\text{at}$ and then at an atom number which is as precisely as possible equal to $N_\text{at}/2$, but without changing the density distribution or temperature of the cloud. The more precise the density ratio is the better one can extrapolate to zero density.

To this end a new technique of state preparation was developed at SYRTE, the adiabatic rapid passage method [92]. This method relies on the fact that the population of one atomic state can be transferred with 100% efficiency into another one when both frequency and amplitude of the microwave radiation inducing the transition are ramped with just the right timing [93]. Here the two states in question are the initial state $|F = 4, m_F = 0\rangle$, in which the atoms arrive from the cooling zone, and the final state $|F = 3, m_F = 0\rangle$, in which they should enter the main microwave cavity.

We cannot go into the physical basis and the technical details of the technique here; these can be found in [81, 92, 93]. Briefly, one has to ensure that the rate of change of the microwave frequency has to be much lower at all times than the square of the Rabi frequency (which is proportional to microwave power). At SYRTE this is realized by sending into the selection cavity a microwave pulse where the amplitude changes in time according to a Blackman shape (Fig. 10). This pulse shape is a compromise between pulse width and suppression of additional Fourier components in the microwave spectrum. The corresponding time behavior of the detuning is also shown in Figure 10.

When the pulse is switched off abruptly at detuning $\delta = 0$ the atoms are left in an exactly equal superposition of both states. The important feature of the rapid adiabatic passage is that this happens independently of the actual Rabi frequency an atom sees, i.e., it does not depend on where an atom passes through the field in-
side the cavity (the field amplitude decreases across the aperture when going away from the center). The pushing beam therefore removes exactly half of all atoms, without changing the density distribution, temperature, or velocity of the cloud—in contrast to the other methods where such changes cannot be excluded.

At SYRTE the ratio of $1 : 2$ can be prepared and maintained with an accuracy of $10^{-3}$ [46], allowing for a very precise determination of the collisional shift rate and its correction. Figure 11 gives an indication of the extraordinary temporal stability of the atom number ratio of $1 : 2$. The precise control over atomic populations in SYRTE-FO2 has also made it possible to detect Feshbach resonances in the dependence of the collisional shift on the magnetic quantum number $m_F$ [94]. Surprisingly, these resonances occur already for flux densities of $2 \mu T$ or less.

FIG. 10: Dashed line: Amplitude shape of the Blackman pulse of duration $\tau$ used in the adiabatic rapid passage method. Solid line: Shape of the corresponding detuning curve.

FIG. 11: Fractional instability $\sigma_R$ of the ratio $R$ of detected atom number of low and high density configurations, as a function of the number of fountain cycles of $\approx 1.3$ s each. Figure adapted from Ref. 46.

VII. THE RUBIDIUM FOUNTAIN CLOCK

There are several motivations to extend the fountain principle from caesium to rubidium. First of all, it is always interesting to compare the behavior of different atomic species under the same conditions or to use one as a reference for the other. In the case of atomic clocks this has a direct application in the search for a variation of fundamental constants of nature [95]. But one can also try to explore the limits, and the physics behind those limits, of the experimental technique itself. The more test candidates are available, the better the data base.

In the case of fountain clocks rubidium is a natural candidate because the atomic physics is qualitatively similar to caesium and because laser cooling and manipulation are just as conveniently possible as with caesium. The setup and the operation of a rubidium fountain clock are basically the same as those of a caesium fountain clock.

Even more interesting is that the cross-section for phase-changing collisions among cold $^{87}$Rb atoms is much smaller than among cold caesium atoms. A factor of 15 was predicted theoretically [96]. In fact, this cross-section is so low that it was difficult to measure its value; initially only upper limits of 30 [75] or more than a factor of 50 [74] to the advantage of $^{87}$Rb were published. Clearly, this has sparked quite some interest in Rb fountain clocks [97], and several are under construction in time laboratories around the world.

The most obvious thing to do with a Rb fountain is to measure its output frequency with respect to that of caesium. The first such measurement, using an early version of a Rb fountain at SYRTE, improved the accuracy about ten-thousand-fold over previous measurements and also, quite surprisingly, found a discrepancy with the accepted literature value of more than 2 Hz [98]. When this measurement is repeated at later times (Fig. 12) one not only gets an indication of the reproducibility but also is it possible to interpret the result in terms of the constancy of constants of nature. In particular, the Rb-Cs comparison is sensitive to variations of the combination $\alpha^{0.44} \mu_{Cs}/\mu_{Rb}$, where $\alpha$ is the fine structure constant and the $\mu$ are the nuclear magnetic moments [95]. It was found that the relative change of this combination (i.e., that of the frequency ratio $\nu_{Cs}/\nu_{Rb}$) over a time interval of five years is no larger than $7 \times 10^{-15}$/year. In the meantime this value has been improved through another comparison in 2004, to $5.3 \times 10^{-15}$/year [81].

Although this value is neither model-independent nor the most stringent limit on the variation of $\alpha$ at the present epoch (even when assuming $\mu_{Cs}/\mu_{Rb}$ to be constant), due to its unique combination of constants it is nevertheless an important contribution to the search for time variations of the constants of nature.

The precise and repeated measurements of $\nu_{Cs}/\nu_{Rb}$ have led to the adoption of the hyperfine frequency $\nu_{Rb} = 6834682 610.904324$ Hz of $^{87}$Rb as a secondary representation of the second with a relative uncertainty of $3 \times 10^{-15}$ [99]. The quoted uncertainty is about three
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A substantial part of the uncertainty of the frequency ratio is due to the instability of the three fountain clocks employed in the measurements at SYRTE (FO2(Cs), FO2(Rb), and FOM) and the uncertainty due to the unavoidable gaps in the frequency data. FO2 is actually equipped for simultaneous operation with Rb and Cs, although to date it has always been run with a single species only. The beginning of its operation with both alkalis simultaneously is imminent. One can expect a much reduced uncertainty in the Rb-Cs comparison when data taking is truly simultaneous. Furthermore, since Zeeman coefficients, polarizabilities, and other atomic-physics quantities are different for the two atomic species one can partially correct for some of the systematic uncertainties in fountain clocks.

VIII. STATE OF THE ART

In Table I are collected the most recently published values of selected uncertainty contributions for the seven operational primary fountain clocks.

The superior stability of the fountains at SYRTE is to a large part due to the cryogenic sapphire oscillator available there. A series of improvements has allowed both SYRTE and NIST to reduce the relative uncertainty of their fountain clocks well below $10^{-15}$, with the other laboratories expected to follow suit.

It becomes apparent from Table I that it will be difficult to drive the relative uncertainty much below $3 \times 10^{-16}$. On the one hand there appears to be room for substantial reductions in the uncertainties of collisional shift, cavity-related effects, and electronics by a more stringent control of operating parameters and a better theoretical understanding of microwave cavities (gained perhaps along the lines of Ref. 101).

On the other hand, reducing the uncertainty of the black-body shift appears rather difficult for the existing fountains because it would require a detailed knowledge of the effective thermal environment of the atoms during their free-flight phase. This includes not only the actual inner-wall temperature to within better than 1 K but also reliable values for the emissivity of the inner surfaces of the vacuum tube (which might change due to physisorption or chemisorption of caesium or residual gases over the years of operation) as well as the influence of radiation coming in through windows and other openings. However, any substantial progress on this front might require the cooling of the walls of the vacuum tube.

IX. COMPARING DIFFERENT FOUNTAIN CLOCKS

It is of great importance to compare the output frequencies of different fountain clocks because this provides a direct test for undiscovered systematic frequency shifts in an individual realization of the fountain clock. Unfortunately, as of today SYRTE is the only laboratory having more than one working fountain clock available. A recent comparison of FO1 and FO2 has given impressive results [46]. The relative instability of the difference frequency of the two fountains averages down nicely according to a white-noise law, reaching $2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ for averaging times of 50 000 s (Fig. 13). At the same time, the average of the difference frequency of $4 \times 10^{-16}$ is consistent with the stated inaccuracies of the two fountains.

In order to compare fountains in different laboratories one has to use a satellite frequency transfer technique. The first fountain frequency comparisons were performed between NIST-F1 and PTB-CSF1 at four epochs between August 2000 and February 2002 [102], finding agreement well within the uncertainty intervals of about $2-3 \times 10^{-15}$. In the summer of 2003 FO2 and FOM in Paris and CSF1 at PTB were run simultaneously for a duration of 11
TABLE I: Some of the relative type-B uncertainty contributions (multiplied by a factor of $10^{16}$) of the seven primary caesium fountain clocks. All values are based on the latest publications. The entry for “Total type-B” includes other systematic effects not listed in the table. Note that in most cases the operating conditions for lowest systematic uncertainty are different from those needed to obtain the lowest instability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause of frequency shift</th>
<th>SYRTE-FO1</th>
<th>SYRTE-FO2</th>
<th>SYRTE-FOM</th>
<th>NIST-F1</th>
<th>PTB-CSF1</th>
<th>IEN-CsF1</th>
<th>NPL-CsF1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cold collisions</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blackbody radiation</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distributed cavity phase</td>
<td>&lt; 3</td>
<td>&lt; 3</td>
<td>&lt; 2</td>
<td>&lt; 0.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt; 0.3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronics, microwave leakage</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt; 2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total type-B uncertainty</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard\textsuperscript{1} instability at 1 s</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>4000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Refs. 46, 17.
\textsuperscript{b}Ref. 17.
\textsuperscript{c}Refs. 46, 81.
\textsuperscript{d}Refs. 37, 100.
\textsuperscript{e}Ref. 36.
\textsuperscript{f}Ref. 15.
\textsuperscript{g}Ref. 16.
\textsuperscript{h}Treated by this group as a type-A uncertainty
\textsuperscript{i}Under the operating conditions for lowest uncertainty
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**FIG. 14:** Frequency of CSF1 relative to FOM, as determined via GPS-common view and TWSTFT (dots), and frequency of FO2 relative to FOM determined from a local comparison (square)

days [103]. Their frequencies were recorded relative to a local hydrogen maser. The maser frequencies in turn were compared using both common-view GPS and TWSTFT, thus permitting to deduce the relative frequency differences between the fountains (Fig. 14). The largest contribution to the length of the uncertainty bars for the CSF1 points originates from the uncertainty of the satellite link. Given the overall uncertainty all clock frequencies are consistent with each other. A similar experiment has been performed at the end of 2004 involving SYRTE-FO2, NPL-CsF1, and IEN-CsF1 [104]. It was found that over the 20 days of joint data taking the relative frequencies of SYRTE-FO2 and NPL-CsF1 almost coincided whereas that of IEN-CsF1 lay about $4 \times 10^{-15}$ higher, still consistent with the total uncertainty of $2 \times 10^{-15}$.

Another way to indirectly compare fountain clocks in different laboratories is via the Circular-T of BIPM.

![Relative frequency difference](image3)

**FIG. 15:** All contributions of atomic fountains to TAI, as of March 2005 (up to BIPM’s Circular-T 206). The 1995–1997 data of FO1 has been omitted for clarity.

Apart from PTB’s thermal clocks CS1 and CS2, which are operated continuously as primary standards, and occasional contributions by laser-pumped thermal beam standards at NICT/JP and at SYRTE, the bulk of information needed for the steering of TAI is nowadays provided by fountain clocks (Fig. 15).

After a long pause following the initial reports of FO1’s frequency by the Paris group both NIST-F1 and PTB-CSF1 started to report regularly to BIPM. The good agreement between the data points of these two fountains is an indication that the uncertainties claimed at the time were not unrealistic. As more and more fountain clocks became operational the density of reports has increased. The scatter, introduced by a few early data points with large uncertainty, has become smaller now...
that the reported uncertainties have become smaller.

X. OTHER APPLICATIONS

The same ideas used in fountain clocks can be adapted for clocks in a low-gravity environment. Clouds of cold atoms can be laser-prepared and then sent through a microwave cavity, to be detected at its end. One can expect many seconds of interaction time between atoms and microwave radiation, with resulting linewidths around 100 mHz. Three such projects for the International Space Station have been pushed ahead [105]. A NASA project, the caesium clock PARCS [106], recently became a victim of the Mars initiative of the current US-American President. The same fate has befallen the rubidium clock experiment RACE [107], another US-American project. ACES [108], an ESA project, is still going ahead and is scheduled to fly to the International Space Station this decade. A major task for all space-based clocks is to develop ways of transferring the projected stability and accuracy to the ground.

The better frequency stability of a fountain clock compared to conventional beam clocks and the almost daily operation of such a fountain clock enabled the setting of a new experimental limit on the validity of local position invariance (LPI) [109, 110], which is a part of the more general Einstein equivalence principle, which in turn is a foundation of Einstein’s theory of general relativity. For this purpose, over the course of more than two years the frequency difference between PTB-CSF1 and different hydrogen masers was monitored, in order to look for variations that are in phase with the annual elliptical orbital motion of the Earth. No violation of the null result predicting LPI could be detected at the level of 6 \times 10^{-6} of the amplitude of \Delta f(t)/c^2 [110]. This result represents an improvement by a factor of about 100 compared to previous similar experiments and is one demonstration that significant improvements in the field of basic research become possible with the help of improved frequency standards like fountain clocks.

Atomic fountains have also been proposed for applications outside frequency metrology. For instance, the frequency of the Rabi oscillation on the clock transition is proportional to microwave power. This principle can be used to construct a microwave power standard. In the simplest case, a cloud of cold atoms can be dropped through a microwave guide [111, 112]. Alternatively, the cloud can be tossed through the microwave cavity of an atomic fountain. A proof-of-principle experiment has been performed using a small caesium fountain, finding agreement with conventional power measurements within 5% [113].

Another proposal concerns the search for a permanent electric dipole moment (EDM) of the electron. If such an EDM existed it would mean a violation of both parity and time reversal symmetry [114]. A typical EDM experiment searches for a change in the magnetic precession frequency of a spin-polarized sample when a strong electric field is reversed in polarity. In the proposed experiment a cloud of Yb atoms is launched in an atomic fountain [115]. Along the free-flight zone a strong homogeneous electric field can be applied. A non-zero EDM would be detected via a shift of the Ramsey pattern upon reversal of the electric field direction. A 20-fold improvement in sensitivity over today’s upper limit for the electron’s EDM is expected because of the long interaction time with the mono-kinetic sample [115].

XI. CONCLUSION

With the advent of fountain clocks an improvement in the realization of the SI second by more than an order of magnitude over optically-pumped thermal-beam clocks became a reality. The operation of fountain clocks introduced a whole new set of techniques into the routine operation of time metrology laboratories: laser cooling and manipulation of atoms. In that sense they are also paving the way for future optical clocks. In retrospect, the choice of the caesium atom as a basis of atomic time keeping has turned out to be an extremely fortunate one because the caesium atom is one of the most readily laser-manipulated species. Just imagine one would have chosen the hydrogen hyperfine transition instead—there would not have been an easy transition from beam clocks to laser-cooled-sample clocks!

The fact that cold collision rates are almost two orders of magnitude lower in rubidium than in caesium brought up the possibility of improving the realizability of the SI second by changing its definition from the caesium to the rubidium clock transition. However, recent improvements, notably the development of the adiabatic passage method, have given the caesium definition of the SI second a new lease of life. With several caesium fountain clocks breaking the 10^{-15} barrier for relative uncertainty, some even reaching the low 10^{-16} range, the bar has been raised for potential contestants for the crown of precise time keeping. Eventually, optical or even nuclear [116] transitions will take over, with a concomitant change of the definition of the SI second. The impressive performance of the fountain clocks of today has already eliminated some candidates for optical clocks, and will perhaps continue to do so in the years to come.

In the meantime, caesium fountain clocks serve as references for the precise determination of the frequency of optical transitions. Because it is hard with currently available time-transfer techniques to remotely compare optical frequency standards situated in different laboratories they will continue to fill this important role for some time to come. Along the way, the excellent stability of fountain clocks can help to detect possible variations in the constants of nature, by allowing repeated comparisons of different optical and/or microwave transitions over many years [95, 117–120].
Even when one day the SI second will no longer be based on caesium, the fountain clocks might play the same role that thermal beam standards nowadays play for fountain clocks: the old, reliable workhorse for routine time-scale generation, while the new generation is being perfected.
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