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Foreword

DKD guidelines are application documents regarding the DIN EN ISO/IEC 17025 requirements. The guidelines contain a description of the technical, process-related and organizational procedures which accredited calibration laboratories use as a model for defining internal processes and regulations. DKD guidelines may become an essential component of the quality management manuals of calibration laboratories. By implementing the guidelines, it is ensured that the devices to be calibrated are all treated equally in the various calibration laboratories and that the continuity and comparability of the work of the calibration laboratories are improved.

The DKD guidelines should not impede the further development of calibration procedures and processes. Deviations from guidelines as well as new procedures are allowed in agreement with the accreditation body if there are technical reasons to support this action.

The present guideline was created by the Technical Committee “Pressure and Vacuum”, in cooperation with the PTB and the accredited calibration laboratories. The guideline has been approved by the Board of the DKD.

To make things clearer and to ensure a better understanding, revision 2 contains minor corrections in the examples as well as editorial changes.
1. **Purpose and scope of application**

This guideline serves to establish minimum requirements for the calibration procedure and the estimation of the measurement uncertainty in the calibration of pressure gauges. It applies to Bourdon tube pressure gauges, electrical pressure gauges and pressure transmitters with electrical output for absolute pressure, differential pressure and excess pressure with negative and positive values.

2. **Symbols and designations**

The symbols are subject-related which means that, as a rule, they are listed in the order in which they appear in the text.

2.1 **Variables**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M1 ... M6</td>
<td>Measurement series</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EW</td>
<td>Highest value (of the calibration range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Output quantity of the model of measurement [VIM 2.51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Input quantity of the model of measurement [VIM 2.50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δX</td>
<td>Influence quantity [VIM 2.52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>Correction factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>Best estimate of the input quantity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td>Best estimate of the output quantity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>Sensitivity coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>Expansion factor [VIM 2.38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>Half-width of a distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g(x, (ξ_i))</td>
<td>Probability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E[...</td>
<td>Expected value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u</td>
<td>Standard uncertainty [VIM 2.30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>Expanded uncertainty [VIM 2.35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w</td>
<td>Relative standard uncertainty [VIM 2.32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Relative expanded uncertainty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>Pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δp</td>
<td>Systematic measurement deviation of the quantity of pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δp</td>
<td>Influence quantity in the dimension of pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Transmission coefficient (of the pressure transducer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔS</td>
<td>Systematic deviation of the transmission coefficient from the single-figure indication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U...</td>
<td>Voltage with different indices (Sections 8.5.1 and 8.5.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Amplification factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f_0</td>
<td>Zero deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b'</td>
<td>Repeatability [VIM 2.21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>Reproducibility [VIM 2.23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>Hysteresis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbol</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U'$</td>
<td>Error span</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W'$</td>
<td>Relative error span</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S'$</td>
<td>Slope of a linear regression function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_e$</td>
<td>Excess pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m$</td>
<td>Mass of the load masses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g$</td>
<td>Acceleration due to gravity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>Density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A$</td>
<td>Effective cross section of the piston-cylinder system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
<td>Deformation coefficient of the piston-cylinder system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>Linear thermal expansion coefficient of the piston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>Linear thermal expansion coefficient of the cylinder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t$</td>
<td>Temperature of the piston-cylinder system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta h$</td>
<td>Height difference between the reference planes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.2 Indices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$Sp$</td>
<td>Supply voltage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$j$</td>
<td>Number of the measurement point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m$</td>
<td>Number of the measurement series</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>Number of measurement cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>Air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Fl$</td>
<td>Pressure-transmitting medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m$</td>
<td>Load mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0$</td>
<td>Standard conditions $t = 20 , ^\circ C$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std</td>
<td>Standard conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>appl</td>
<td>Conditions of use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>corr</td>
<td>Correction (of the measurement value)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Reference and working standards

The calibration is carried out by direct comparison of the measurement values of the calibration item with those of the reference or working standard which has been directly or indirectly traced back to a national standard.

The reference standards used are pressure gauges of long-time stability as, for example, pressure balances and liquid-level manometers, or less long-term stable electrical pressure gauges (see Annex F, p.49). They are calibrated at regular intervals and provided with a calibration certificate stating the expanded measurement uncertainty under standard conditions (among other things, standard or local acceleration due to gravity, 20°C, 1 bar). The reference standard is subject to surveillance and documentation by the accreditation body. If the calibration does not take place under standard conditions, corrections are to be applied to the pressure calculation. The measurement uncertainties to be attributed to these corrections due to influence quantities are to be taken into account as further contributions in the uncertainty budget.

When calculating the measurement uncertainty of the standards used, all relevant influence quantities are to be taken into account. In case of indicating pressure gauges that are used as standards, the resolution has to be considered a second time when calculating the measurement uncertainty.

The working standards documented in the quality manual of the laboratory are calibrated in an accredited laboratory and provided with a calibration certificate stating the expanded uncertainty at the time of calibration. The working standard is subject to surveillance by the accreditation body. Depending on their type, the working standards may vary considerably.

Recommendation:
The measurement uncertainty attributed to the measurement values of the reference or working standard should not exceed 1/3 of the aspired uncertainty which will presumably be attributed to the measurement values of the calibration item.

---

1 The term uncertainty budget continues to be accepted.
2 The measurement uncertainty aimed at is the uncertainty which can be achieved when specified calibration efforts are made (uncertainty of the values of the standard, number of measurement series, etc.). It may be equal to or greater than the best measurement capability.
4. Calibration item

The calibration items are pressure gauges of the three types represented in Figure 1.

**Figure 1**: Types of pressure gauges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Calibration item</th>
<th>Auxiliary measuring devices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Bourdon tube pressure gauge</td>
<td>Reference or working standard</td>
<td>Bourdon tube pressure gauge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Electrical pressure gauge</td>
<td>Reference or working standard</td>
<td>Voltage source</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Pressure transmitter with electrical output</td>
<td>Reference or working standard</td>
<td>Reference or working standard</td>
<td>Auxiliary power</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the calibration of pressure transmitters with electrical output (3) auxiliary measuring devices of the accredited laboratory have to be used – as opposed to electrical pressure gauges (2) which only require the provision of a voltage or current source. These auxiliary devices serve to convert the electrical signal into a readable indication. The measurement uncertainty attributed to the measurement values of the auxiliary measuring devices is to be taken into account in the uncertainty budget. To ensure traceability, the auxiliary measuring devices must have been calibrated and a statement on the measurement uncertainty to be attributed to the measurement values must be available.

When choosing the auxiliary measuring devices, it must be ensured that their uncertainty contributions do not significantly affect the aspired measurement uncertainty of the calibration item.
In the case of calibration items with a digital interface (e.g. RS232, RS485 IEEE488, etc.), this interface can be used instead of the display. It has to be ensured that the data that are read out are unequivocally interpreted and processed.

5. **Calibration capability**

The handling of a calibration order requires the calibration capability (suitability) of the calibration item, i.e. the current status of the calibration item should meet the generally recognized rules of technology as well as the specifications according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The calibration capability has to be ascertained by means of external inspections and functional tests.

External inspections cover, for example:
- visual inspection for damage (pointer, threads, sealing surface, pressure channel)
- contamination and cleanness
- visual inspections regarding labelling, readability of indications
- test whether the required documents for the calibration (technical data, operating instructions) are available

Functional tests cover, for example:
- leak tightness of the calibration item’s line system
- electrical operability
- proper function of the control elements (e.g. zero adjustability)
- adjusting elements in defined position
- error-free execution of self-test and/or self-adjustment functions; if necessary, internal reference values are to be read out via the EDP interface
- torque dependence (zero signal) during mounting

**Note:**
If repair work or adjustments are required to ensure the calibration capability, this work has to be agreed upon between customer and calibration laboratory. Relevant device parameters are to be documented, as far as possible, before and after the adjustments.

6. **Ambient conditions**

The calibration is to be carried out after a temperature equalisation between calibration item and environment within the permissible temperature range (18 °C to 28 °C). A warm-up time of the calibration item or a possible warming of the calibration item by the supply voltage must be considered. The warm-up period depends on personal experience or specifications provided by the manufacturer.

The calibration is to be carried out at a steady ambient temperature. The recommended temperature variation during calibration is limited to ±1 K. It might be necessary to consider an additional uncertainty contribution when exploiting the maximum tolerance limits; this temperature must lie between 18 °C and 28 °C and has to be recorded.

**Note:**
When using piston pressure gauges (pressure balances), the air density may have a significant impact on the calibration result (air buoyancy mass and hydrostatic pressure); therefore, apart from the ambient temperature, also the atmospheric pressure and the relative humidity must be recorded and taken into account. This information must be stated in the calibration certificate (see DAkkS-DKD 5).
7. Calibration method

- The pressure gauge is to be calibrated as a whole (measuring chain), if possible.
- The required mounting position is to be considered.
- The calibration is to be carried out at equally distributed measurement points across the calibration range.
- Depending on the desired measurement uncertainty, one or more measurement series are necessary (see Table 1 or Figure 2, respectively).
- If the calibration item’s behaviour regarding the influence of the torque is not sufficiently known during mounting, the reproducibility must be determined by an additional clamping. In this case, the value of the torque is to be documented.
- The difference in altitude between the reference altitudes of the standard and the calibration item is to be minimized or the correction is to be calculated.

Upon request, further influence quantities (e.g. temperature influence from further measurement series at different temperatures) can be determined.

The comparison of the measured value between calibration item and reference or working standard is feasible in two ways:

- adjustment of the pressure according to the indication of the calibration item
- adjustment of the pressure according to the indication of the standard

The preloading time at the highest value and the time between two preloadings should at least be 30 seconds. After preloading and after steady conditions have been reached, the indication of the calibration item is set to zero - provided that this is supported by the calibration item. The zero reading is carried out immediately afterwards. As to the pressure step variation of a measurement series, the time between two successive load steps should be the same and not shorter than 30 seconds, and the reading should be performed no earlier than 30 seconds after the start of the pressure change. Especially Bourdon tube pressure gauges have to be slightly tapped to minimize any frictional effect of the pointer system. The measured value for the upper limit of the calibration range is to be registered before and after the waiting time. The zero reading at the end of a measurement series is carried out at the earliest 30 seconds after the complete relief.

The calibration effort in dependence on the desired measurement uncertainty (cf. Note 2, Section 3) is illustrated in Figure 2 which shows the sequence of the calibration:
**Table 1: Calibration sequences**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Desired measurement uncertainty in % of the measurement span</th>
<th>Minimum number of measurement points</th>
<th>Number of pre-loadings</th>
<th>Load change + waiting time (**)</th>
<th>Waiting time at upper limit of the measurement range (***</th>
<th>Number of measurement series</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&gt; 30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.1 ... 0.6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&gt; 30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>&gt; 0.6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&gt; 30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) The reference to the measurement span was chosen in order to allow the selection of the sequence (necessary calibration effort) from the table, since the accuracy specifications provided by the manufacturers are usually related to the measurement span. In case of measuring devices for which specifications of the measurement value or assembled specifications are stated, Table 1 is to be applied, using the specification limit (e.g. of the measurement span).

(**) In any case, one has to wait until steady state conditions (sufficiently stable indication of the standard and the calibration item) are reached.

(***) For Bourdon tube pressure gauges, a waiting time of 5 minutes is to be observed. The waiting times can be reduced for quasi-static calibrations (piezoelectric sensor principle).

*Note:*

The calibration of items with a measurement range greater than 2500 bar basically requires the application of calibration sequence A. If clamping effects are observed, the calibration is to be repeated with a second clamping. Calibration items that are calibrated with positive and negative gauge pressure should at least be calibrated at two points in the negative range (e.g. at -1 bar and -0.5 bar); the remaining measurement points should be calibrated in the positive range.

If several references are required to carry out a calibration, the pressure at the calibration item must be kept constant when changing the reference. If this is not practicable (e.g. change of the mounting position, second clamping), a complete new calibration sequence has to be carried out.
Figure 2: Visualisation of the calibration sequences

Sequence A

Sequence B

Sequence C

Additional reproducibility measurement in the case of 2\textsuperscript{nd} clamping
8. **Measurement uncertainty**

8.1 **Definition [VIM 2.26]**

The measurement uncertainty is a non-negative parameter characterizing the dispersion of the values being attributed to the measurand, based on the information used.

8.2 **Procedure**

8.2.1 **Model of measurement [VIM 2.48]**

The determination of the measurement uncertainty is generally carried out according to the procedure described in the document DAkkS-DKD-3[18]. This document uses the following terms and calculation rules on condition that no correlations between the input quantities are to be allowed for:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model function</th>
<th>$y = f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_N)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard uncertainty</td>
<td>$u(x_i)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$c_i$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$u_i(y)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$u(y)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expanded uncertainty</td>
<td>$U(y)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$k$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$u_i(y) = c_i \cdot u(x_i)$

$u^2(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} u_i^2(y)$

$u(y) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} u_i^2(y)}$

$U(y) = k \cdot u(y)$

$k = 2$

for a measurand of largely normal distribution and a coverage probability of approximately 95%

If relative measurement uncertainties are used, the variables $u$, $U$ are replaced by the variables $w$, $W$.

With complex models, the calculation rule rapidly leads to an analytical determination of the sensitivity coefficients which is no longer manageable. As a result, there will be a shift toward a software-based numerical determination of the sensitivity coefficients.
Besides this general calculation rule, there are two particular rules which lead to sensitivity coefficients \( c_i = \pm 1 \) and thus to the simple quadratic addition of the uncertainties of the input/influence quantities. This enables the simple determination of the measurement uncertainty without software support.

Note:
Also the "simple" model must of course correctly reflect the physical process of measurement/calibration. If necessary, more complex relations have to be represented by means of a suitable model (no special case) in a separate uncertainty budget (see Annex A: Estimate of the measurement uncertainty to be attributed to the values of the pressure balance under conditions of use).

### 8.2.2 Sum/difference model

\[
Y = X + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta X_i
\]

\( Y \)
Output quantity

\( X \)
Input quantity (quantities)

\( \delta X_i \)
Influence quantity (quantities)

\( E[\delta X_i] = 0 \)
Expected value [the components do not contribute to the calculation of the output quantity (corrections are not applied) but they make a contribution to the measurement uncertainty]

E.g. model for determining the measurement deviation of the indication:

\[
\Delta p = p_{\text{indication}} - p_{\text{standard}} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta p_i
\]

This model is particularly suitable for calibration items with an indication of their own in units of pressure (e.g. Bourdon tube pressure gauge, electrical pressure gauge). Here, the measurement uncertainties are also stated in the unit of the physical quantity of pressure (pascal, bar, etc.).

### 8.2.3 Product/quotient model

\[
Y = X \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{N} K_i
\]

\( Y \)
Output quantity

\( X \)
Input quantity (quantities)

\( K_i = \left( 1 + \frac{\delta X_i}{|X_i|} \right) \)
Correction factor(s)

\( \delta X_i \)
Influence quantity (quantities)
Expected values [the components do not contribute to the calculation of the output quantity (corrections are not applied) but they make a contribution to the measurement uncertainty]

e.g. model for determining the transmission coefficient of a pressure transducer (strain-gauge transducer):

\[
S = \frac{X_{out}}{X_{in}} = \frac{U_{\text{indication}} / (G \cdot U_{SP})}{p_{\text{standard}}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{N} K_i
\]

This model is particularly suitable for calibration items without an indication of their own (e.g. pressure transmitters with electrical output) using relative measurement uncertainties \(w\) of the dimension 1 (dimensionless or %).

8.2.4 Input/influence quantities

The measurement uncertainties attributed to the input/influence quantities are subdivided into two categories as regards their determination:

Type A: For the determination of the value and its attributed standard uncertainty, analysis methods from statistics for measurement series under repeatability conditions \((n \geq 10)\) are applied.

Type B: The determination of the value and its attributed standard uncertainty is based on other scientific findings and can be estimated from the following information:

- data from previous measurements
- general knowledge and experience regarding the characteristics and the behaviour of measuring instruments and materials
- manufacturer’s specifications
- calibration certificates or other certificates
- reference data from manuals

In many cases, only the upper and lower limits \((a_+\) and \(a_-\)) can be stated for the value of a quantity, whereby all values within the bounds can be considered equally probable. This situation can best be described by a rectangular probability density.

With \(a_+ - a_- = 2a\) \(\quad (5)\)

the estimate of the input/influence quantity

\[
x_i = \frac{1}{2} \cdot (a_+ + a_-) \quad (6)
\]

and the attributed standard uncertainty

\[
u(x_i) = \frac{a}{\sqrt{3}} \quad (7)
\]

are obtained.
If the values are more likely to be found in the middle or at the edge of the interval, then it is reasonable to assume a triangular or U-shaped distribution.

**Table 2: Other type B distribution shapes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shape of distribution</th>
<th>Standard uncertainty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>normal</td>
<td>$u = \frac{U}{k}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>triangular</td>
<td>$u = \frac{a}{\sqrt{6}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-shaped</td>
<td>$u = \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>etc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8.2.5 Potential influence quantities, example

To establish the model of the measurement uncertainty, it is recommended to graphically represent the influence quantities. As an example, the following illustration shows the potential influence quantities for the calibration of a pressure gauge against a pressure balance.

Figure 3 shows the block diagram of the pressure gauge type (3) from Section 4, Figure 1.

**Figure 3: Influence quantities in the calibration of a pressure gauge**

* ENOB … Effective Number of Bits

(Characteristic value of A/D converters, which characterizes their actual accuracy and performance better than the resolution)

**Note:**
For a first approach, it is sometimes helpful to subdivide the influence values according to whether they are associated with the

- standard
- procedure
- calibration item.

The measurement uncertainties which are attributed to the values of the standard, the adapter and the output unit are taken from calibration certificates (generally normally distributed, $k = 2$). When using electrical pressure gauges, their long-term stability, resolution and temperature dependence are to be assessed as a contribution to the measurement uncertainty and, if necessary, must be taken into account.
8.3 Calibration of Bourdon tube pressure gauges

8.3.1 Model of measurement

For example, a simple sum/difference model is suitable for determining the measurement deviation of the indication – separately for the measurement values in the direction of increasing pressure and for the measurement values in the direction of decreasing pressure, according to the calibration procedures (see Section 7, Table 1 or Figure 2, respectively):

\[ \Delta p_{\text{up/down}} = p_{\text{ind,up/down}} - p_{\text{standard}} + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \delta p_i = p_{\text{Anz}_i} - p_{\text{standard}} + \delta p_{\text{zero deviation}} + \delta p_{\text{repeatability}} \]  

(8)

\[ Y = \Delta p \]  

Output quantity; deviation of the indication

Index ... stands for up/down or mean (see eqs. 8 and 9)

3

\[ X_1 = p_{\text{ind}} \]  

Indication of the pressure gauge

Index ... stands for up/down or mean (see eqs. 8 and 9)

4 5

\[ X_2 = p_{\text{standard}} \]  

Value of the reference standard

6

\[ X_3 = \delta p_{\text{zero deviation}} \]  

Influence quantity "zero deviation"

7

\[ X_4 = \delta p_{\text{repeatability}} \]  

Influence quantity "repeatability"

and for the mean values from the up and down measurements:

\[ \Delta p_{\text{mean}} = p_{\text{ind,mean}} - p_{\text{standard}} + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \delta p_i = p_{\text{ind,mean}} - p_{\text{standard}} + \delta p_{\text{zero deviation}} + \delta p_{\text{repeatability}} + \delta p_{\text{hysteresis}} \]  

(9)

\[ p_{\text{ind,mean}} = \frac{p_{\text{ind,up}} + p_{\text{ind,down}}}{2} \]  

(10)

\[ X_5 = \delta p_{\text{hysteresis}} \]  

Influence quantity "hysteresis"

7 5

3 Output quantity

4 Input quantities

5 Quantities for determining the measurement uncertainty

6 The value of the reference standard takes into account the use of the pressure balance under conditions of use (application of corrections). Therefore, the uncertainty budget, too, contains uncertainty contributions from the pressure balance both under standard conditions and under conditions of use. The latter contribution is determined in uncertainty budgets (see Annex A: "Estimate of the measurement uncertainty which is to be attributed to the values of the pressure balance under conditions of use") for the influences of the temperature, of the thermal linear expansion coefficient, of the acceleration due to gravity, of the air density, of the deformation coefficient (pressure balance) or for density, acceleration due to gravity, altitude (height difference).

7 Influence quantities
When considering the increasing and decreasing series separately, the expanded uncertainty 
\((k = 2)\) is:

\[
U_{up/down} = k \cdot u_{up/down}
\]

\[
U_{up/down} = k \cdot \sqrt{u^2_{standard} + u^2_{resolution} + u^2_{zero deviation} + u^2_{repeatability}} \tag{11}
\]

and a so-called error span\(^8\) allowing for the systematic deviation is:

\[
U'_{up/down} = U_{up/down} + |\Delta p_{up/down}|
\]

(12)

When using the mean values from the increasing and decreasing series, the expanded 
uncertainty \((k = 2)\) is calculated at:

\[
U_{mean} = k \cdot \sqrt{u^2_{up/down} + u^2_{hysteresis}} \tag{13}
\]

where for the calculation of the measurement uncertainty \(U_{up/down}\) the larger value of the 
repeatability is to be entered.

The associated error span is determined at:

\[
U'_{mean} = U_{mean} + |\Delta p_{mean}|
\]

(14)

\(^8\) The maximum expected difference between the measured value and the true value of the measurand is called error span. 
The error span can be used to characterize the accuracy.
### 8.3.2 Uncertainty budget

The knowledge regarding the input/influence quantities is preferably summarized in a table.

**Table 3: Uncertainty budget for the calibration of a Bourdon tube pressure gauge**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cont. No.</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Best estimate</th>
<th>Width of the distribution</th>
<th>Probability distribution</th>
<th>Divisor</th>
<th>Standard uncertainty</th>
<th>Sensitivity coefficient</th>
<th>Uncertainty contribution</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$p_{\text{ind.}}$</td>
<td>$p_{i, \text{ind.}}$</td>
<td>$2r$</td>
<td>rectangle</td>
<td>$\sqrt{3}$</td>
<td>$u(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left( \frac{2r}{2} \right)$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$u_r$</td>
<td>bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$p_{\text{standard}}$</td>
<td>$p_{i, \text{standard}}$</td>
<td>$r$</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$u(\text{standard})$</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>$u_{\text{standard}}$</td>
<td>bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$\delta p_{\text{zero deviation}}$</td>
<td>$f_0$</td>
<td>rectangle</td>
<td>$\sqrt{3}$</td>
<td>$u(f_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left( \frac{f_0}{2} \right)$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$u_{f_0}$</td>
<td>bar</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$\delta p_{\text{repeatability}}$</td>
<td>$b'$</td>
<td>rectangle</td>
<td>$\sqrt{3}$</td>
<td>$u(b') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left( \frac{b'}{2} \right)$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$u_{b'}$</td>
<td>bar</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$\delta p_{\text{hysteresis}}$</td>
<td>$h$</td>
<td>rectangle</td>
<td>$\sqrt{3}$</td>
<td>$u(h) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left( \frac{h}{2} \right)$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$u_h$</td>
<td>bar</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$Y = \Delta p_{\text{...}}$ $u(y)$ bar

---

9 It is recommended to carry over the unit of the uncertainty contributions (unit of the physical quantity, unit of indication, etc.).
8.3.3 Load step-related uncertainty budget

The estimate of the measurement uncertainty has to be carried out for each calibration value, i.e. for each load step. For a greater clarity, the following tabular representation is recommended for increasing, decreasing and mean values:

**Table 4: Uncertainty budget**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure</th>
<th>Measurement deviation</th>
<th>Standard uncertainty ( u )</th>
<th>Expanded uncertainty ( U(k=2) )</th>
<th>Error span ( U' )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bar</td>
<td>bar</td>
<td>Contribution 1 ( \bar{u}_1 )</td>
<td>\ldots</td>
<td>Contribution n ( \bar{u}_n )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

min.

\ldots

max.

8.3.4 Single-figure indication

In addition to the error span for each load step, the customer can be informed of the maximum error span in the range for which the calibration is valid (in the unit of the pressure related to the measurement value or the measurement span). Similarly, the conformity can be confirmed (see page 31).
8.4 Calibration of electrical pressure gauges

The model of the measurement and the measurement uncertainty budget for the calibration of a Bourdon tube pressure gauge can also be used for calibrating an electrical pressure gauge (numerically correct indication in units of pressure). If necessary, a portion of "reproducibility $b$ with repeated mounting" is to be taken into account.

$$X_6 = \delta p_{\text{reproducibility}}$$

Influence quantity "reproducibility" $\delta p_{\text{reproducibility}}$

Table 5: Additional component in determining the measurement uncertainty for the calibration of an electrical pressure gauge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cont. No.</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Best estimate</th>
<th>Width of the distribution</th>
<th>Probability distribution</th>
<th>Divisor</th>
<th>Standard uncertainty</th>
<th>Sensitivity coefficient</th>
<th>Uncertainty contribution</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X_6</td>
<td>x_6</td>
<td>c_6</td>
<td>$2a$</td>
<td>$g_{X_6}(\xi_6)$</td>
<td>$u(x_6)$</td>
<td>$c_6$</td>
<td>$u_c(y)$</td>
<td>$u_b$</td>
<td>bar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The expanded uncertainty ($k = 2$) for the increasing and decreasing series is determined as follows:

$$U_{\text{up/down}} = k \cdot u_{\text{up/down}}$$

$$U_{\text{up/down}} = k \cdot \sqrt{u_{\text{standard}}^2 + u_{\text{resolution}}^2 + u_{\text{zero deviation}}^2 + u_{\text{repeatability}}^2 + u_{\text{reproducibility}}^2}$$

(15)

The determination of the associated error span for the increasing and decreasing series and for the expanded uncertainty and the error span for the mean value is carried out in analogy to the procedure for the Bourdon tube pressure gauge.
8.5 Calibration of pressure transducers and pressure transmitters with electrical output

8.5.1 Model of measurement

For example, a simple product/quotient model is suitable for determining the transmission coefficient – separately for the measurement values in the direction of increasing pressure and those in the direction of decreasing pressure:

\[
S_{\text{up/down}} = \frac{X_{\text{out,up/down}}}{X_{\text{in}}} = \frac{U_{\text{ind,up/down}} / (\sigma_{\text{up/down}})}{P_{\text{standard}}} \prod_{i=1}^{3} K_i = \frac{U_{\text{ind,up/down}} / (\sigma_{\text{up/down}})}{P_{\text{standard}}} K_{\text{zero deviation}} K_{\text{repeatability}} K_{\text{reproducibility}}
\]

\[(16)\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Y = S_{_})</th>
<th>Output quantity; transmission coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(X_1 = U_{\text{ind,...}})</td>
<td>Indication of the output unit (voltmeter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X_2 = G)</td>
<td>Transmission coefficient of the adapter (added amplifier)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X_3 = U_{\text{Sp}})</td>
<td>Value of the supply voltage (auxiliary device)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X_4 = P_{\text{standard}})</td>
<td>Value of the reference standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X_5 = K_{\text{zero deviation}})</td>
<td>Correction factor due to the influence quantity &quot;zero deviation&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X_6 = K_{\text{repeatability}})</td>
<td>Correction factor due to the influence quantity &quot;repeatability&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X_7 = K_{\text{reproducibility}})</td>
<td>If applicable, correction factor due to the influence quantity &quot;reproducibility&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the mean values the following is valid:

\[
S_{\text{mean}} = \frac{X_{\text{out,mean}}}{X_{\text{Elin}}} = \frac{U_{\text{ind,mean}} / (\sigma_{\text{up/down}})}{P_{\text{standard}}} \prod_{i=1}^{3} K_i = \frac{U_{\text{ind,mean}} / (\sigma_{\text{up/down}})}{P_{\text{standard}}} K_{\text{zero deviation}} K_{\text{repeatability}} K_{\text{reproducibility}} K_{\text{hysteresis}}
\]

\[(17)\]

| \(X_8 = K_{\text{hysteresis}}\) | Correction factor due to the influence quantity "hysteresis" |
When the increasing and decreasing series are considered separately, the relative expanded measurement uncertainty \((k = 2)\) is determined at:

\[
W_{\text{up/down}} = k \cdot w_{\text{up/down}}
\]

\[
w_{\text{up/down}} = k \cdot \sqrt{w_{\text{standard}}^2 + w_{\text{auxiliary device}}^2 + w_{\text{zero deviation}}^2 + w_{\text{repeatability}}^2 + w_{\text{reproducibility}}^2}
\]

(18)

and the associated error spans at:

\[
W'_{\text{up/down}} = W_{\text{up/down}} + \frac{\Delta S_{\text{up/down}}}{S'}
\]

(19)

with the systematic deviation

\[
\Delta S_{\text{up/down}} = S_{\text{up/down}} - S'
\]

(20)

with \(S'\) preferably representing the slope of the regression line through all measurement values and through the zero point of the output signal of the pressure transmitter.

When using the mean value from increasing and decreasing series, the relative expanded measurement uncertainty \((k = 2)\) is calculated at:

\[
W_{\text{mean}} = k \cdot \sqrt{w_{\text{up/down}}^2 + w_{\text{hysteresis}}^2}
\]

(21)

where for the calculation of the measurement uncertainty \(w_{\text{up/down}}\) the larger value of the repeatability is to be inserted.

The associated error span is determined at:

\[
W'_{\text{mean}} = W_{\text{mean}} + \frac{\Delta S_{\text{mean}}}{S'}
\]

(22)

with

\[
\Delta S_{\text{mean}} = S_{\text{mean}} - S'
\]

(23)

(for \(S'\), see above)
8.5.2 Uncertainty budget

The knowledge of the input/influence quantities is preferably given in a tabular form.

**Table 6:** Measurement uncertainty budget for the calibration of a pressure transmitter with electrical output

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cont. No.</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Best estimate</th>
<th>Width of the distribution</th>
<th>Probability distribution</th>
<th>Divisor</th>
<th>Standard uncertainty</th>
<th>Sensitivity coefficient</th>
<th>Uncertainty contribution</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$U_{\text{ind,}}$</td>
<td>$U_{\text{ind,}}$</td>
<td>$2a$</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>$x_i$</td>
<td>$w(x_i)$</td>
<td>$c_i$</td>
<td>$w_i(y)$</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$G$</td>
<td>$G$</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>$2$</td>
<td>$w(\text{adapter})$</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$w_{\text{adapter}}$</td>
<td>#</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$U_{\text{Sp}}$</td>
<td>$U_{\text{Sp}}$</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>$2$</td>
<td>$w(\text{aux. device})$</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$w_{\text{auxiliary device}}$</td>
<td>#</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$p_{\text{standard}}$</td>
<td>$p_{\text{i, standard}}$</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>$2$</td>
<td>$w(\text{standard})$</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$w_{\text{standard}}$</td>
<td>#</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$K_{\text{zero deviation}}$</td>
<td>$10$</td>
<td>rectangle</td>
<td>$\sqrt{3}$</td>
<td>$w(f_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left( \frac{f_0}{2} \right)$</td>
<td>$1$</td>
<td>$w_{f_0}$</td>
<td>#</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$K_{\text{repeatability}}$</td>
<td>$b'$</td>
<td>rectangle</td>
<td>$\sqrt{3}$</td>
<td>$w(b') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left( \frac{b'}{2} \right)$</td>
<td>$1$</td>
<td>$w_{b'}$</td>
<td>#</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$K_{\text{reproducibility}}$</td>
<td>$b$</td>
<td>rectangle</td>
<td>$\sqrt{3}$</td>
<td>$w(b) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left( \frac{b}{2} \right)$</td>
<td>$1$</td>
<td>$w_b$</td>
<td>#</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$K_{\text{hysteresis}}$</td>
<td>$h$</td>
<td>rectangle</td>
<td>$\sqrt{3}$</td>
<td>$w(h) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left( \frac{h}{2} \right)$</td>
<td>$1$</td>
<td>$w_h$</td>
<td>#</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y$</td>
<td>$S_{...}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$w(y)$</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

10 Here, the characteristic quantities $f_0$, $b'$, $b$ and $h$ are relative quantities, i.e. quantities related to the measurement value (indication) which are not defined at the pressure zero.
8.5.3 Load step-related uncertainty budget

The estimation of the measurement uncertainty has to be carried out for each calibration value, i.e. for each load step. For reasons of clarity, the following tabular representation is recommended for increasing, decreasing and mean values:

**Table 7: Uncertainty budget**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure (bar)</th>
<th>Relative standard uncertainty ( w )</th>
<th>Relative expanded uncertainty ( W ) (( k=2 ))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contribution 1</td>
<td>Contribution ( n )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.5.4 Single-figure indication

*Transmission coefficient as slope of a linear regression function*

When using the pressure transducer, it is common practice not to apply different transmission coefficients for the individual load steps (= calibration pressures) but one single transmission coefficient for the whole range for which the calibration is valid. This preferably is the slope of the regression line through all measurement values and through the zero point of the output signal of the pressure transducer (fitting without absolute term).

When using this characteristic quantity of the pressure transducer, a statement of conformity replaces the measurement uncertainties which are attributed to the individual measurement values of the transmission coefficient (cf. 9.1.3).

For this purpose, the specification limits are to be defined. This can be done on the basis of the calibration results by calculating the error span according to 8.5.1 („self-determined conformity“, definition based on the manufacturer’s instructions, cf. below). In doing so,

- the measurement uncertainties attributed to the individual measurement values of the transmission coefficient and

- the deviations of these values from the single-figure indication of the transmission coefficient

are to be taken into account.

As a rule, error spans result whose magnitudes decrease with increasing pressure. As specification limit,

- the maximum calculated error span can be selected (in this case, the specification limits are shown in the calibration diagram as straight lines parallel to the pressure axis; cf. 9.2.2, Pressure transmitters with electrical output signal,

- Figure 5, upper details)

or

- the specification limits are described by suitable curves such as hyperbolas or polynomials (cf. 9.2.2, Pressure transmitters with electrical output signal,
Figure 5, lower details).

Note: The use of pressure-dependent specification limits is not common practice. However, in pressure measurements with the calibrated device in the upper part of the measurement range, it allows the statement of smaller measurement uncertainties.

For calibration items with a nominal parameter (e.g. 2 mV/V) balanced by the manufacturer, the specification limits can alternatively be determined from the associated parameter tolerance. In this case, however, it always has to be checked whether the values of the transmission coefficients determined during calibration, including their associated measurement uncertainties and their systematic deviations from the single-figure indication of the parameter, do not exceed the specification limits.

8.6 Relevant influence quantities of the calibration item for the uncertainty budget

8.6.1 Resolution \( r \)

8.6.1.1 Analogue indicating devices

The resolution of the indicating device is obtained from the ratio of the pointer width to the centre distance of two adjacent graduation lines (scale interval). 1/2, 1/5 or 1/10 is recommended as ratio. If the ratio shall be 1/10 (i.e. the estimable fraction of a scale interval), the scale spacing must be 2.5 mm or greater (cf. also DIN 43790).

Note: The best estimate of an analogue indicating device is determined by visual interpolation. The smallest estimable fraction of a scale interval is the interpolation component \( r \) by which the measurement values can be distinguished. The variation interval for the best estimate \( x \) thus is \( a_+ = x + r \) and \( a_- = x - r \) with the width of the rectangular distribution \( 2a = 2 \cdot r \).

8.6.1.2 Digital indicating devices

The resolution corresponds to the digital step, provided that the indication does not vary by more than one digital step when there is no load on the pressure gauge.

Note: For the determination of the uncertainty contribution, half the value of the resolution \( a = r/2 \) is assigned to the half-width of the rectangular distribution.

8.6.1.3 Fluctuation of readings

If the reading fluctuates by more than the previously determined value of the resolution with the pressure gauge not being loaded, the resolution \( r \) is to be taken as half the span of the fluctuation, additionally added with a digital step.

8.6.2 Zero deviation \( f_0 \)

The zero point (unloaded pressure gauge usually at atmospheric pressure) can be set prior to each measurement cycle consisting of an increasing and a decreasing series; it has to be recorded prior to and after each measurement cycle. The reading is to be carried out with the instrument being completely relieved.

In the case of pressure gauges for excess pressure whose initial measuring range is different from the atmospheric pressure (e.g. -1 bar to 9 bar), the drift has to be determined at the zero point.
The determination of the zero point deviation is omitted in case of absolute pressure gauges, where the zero point is not included in the calibration range, e.g. barometers.

The zero deviation is calculated as follows:

\[ f_0 = \max \left\{ |x_{2,0} - x_{1,0}|, |x_{4,0} - x_{3,0}|, |x_{6,0} - x_{5,0}| \right\} \]  

(24)

The indices number the measured values \( x \) read at the zero points of the measurement series M1 to M6.

### 8.6.3 Repeatability \( b' \)

The repeatability with the mounting not being changed is determined from the difference of the zero signal-corrected measurement values of corresponding measurement series.

\[ b'_{\text{up},j} = \left| (x_{3,j} - x_{3,0}) - (x_{1,j} - x_{1,0}) \right| \]

\[ b'_{\text{down},j} = \left| (x_{4,j} - x_{3,0}) - (x_{2,j} - x_{1,0}) \right| \]

\[ b'_{\text{mean},j} = \max \left\{ b'_{\text{up},j}, b'_{\text{down},j} \right\} \]  

(25)

The index \( j \) numbers the nominal values of the pressure (\( j = 0 \): zero point).

### 8.6.4 Reproducibility \( b \)

The reproducibility with the instrument being mounted repeatedly and the conditions not being changed is determined from the difference of the zero signal-corrected measurement values of corresponding measurement series:

\[ b_{\text{up},j} = \left| (x_{5,j} - x_{5,0}) - (x_{1,j} - x_{1,0}) \right| \]

\[ b_{\text{down},j} = \left| (x_{6,j} - x_{5,0}) - (x_{2,j} - x_{1,0}) \right| \]

\[ b_{\text{mean},j} = \max \left\{ b_{\text{up},j}, b_{\text{down},j} \right\} \]  

(26)

For index \( j \), see above.

### 8.6.5 Hysteresis \( h \)

When stating mean values, the hysteresis is determined from the difference of the zero point-corrected measurement values of the increasing and decreasing series as follows:

\[ h_{\text{mean},j} = \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \left( x_{2,j} - x_{1,0} \right) - \left( x_{4,j} - x_{3,0} \right) \right\} + \left\{ \left( x_{4,j} - x_{3,0} \right) - \left( x_{6,j} - x_{5,0} \right) \right\} \]

(27)

For index \( j \), see above. The variable \( n \) stands for the number of the complete measurement cycles (consisting of an increasing and decreasing series).
9. Evaluation of measurement results and statements in the calibration certificate

The main components of the pressure gauge are each provided with a calibration mark; devices belonging to a measuring chain are each provided with a calibration mark.

In addition to the requirements in DAkkS DKD-5 [12], the calibration certificate must state the following information:
- calibration method (DKD-R 6-1 sequence A, B, C or EN 837 parts 1 and 3)
- measurement deviation of the display
- pressure-transmitting medium
- pressure reference plane on the calibration item
- mounting position of the calibration item during calibration
- selected settings on the calibration item

The calibration certificate should contain a table of all measurement values, e.g.:

**Table 8: Measurement values**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure at the height of the reference plane of the calibration item</th>
<th>Displayed value $p_{\text{ind}}$</th>
<th>Calibration sequence A</th>
<th>Measurement with 2nd clamping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calibration sequence B</td>
<td>Calibration sequence C</td>
<td>M1 (up)</td>
<td>M2 (down)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bar, Pascal, ...</td>
<td>bar, Pascal, A, V, mV/V, Hz, ...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min.</td>
<td>min.</td>
<td>min.</td>
<td>min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max.</td>
<td>max.</td>
<td>max.</td>
<td>max.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Column 1 contains the measured pressure values of the standard. Columns 2 to 7 contain the corresponding measurement values displayed by the calibration items according to Figure 1 (Bourdon tube pressure gauge, electrical pressure gauge, pressure transmitter with electrical output) in units of pressure or output in other physical quantities (current, voltage, voltage ratio, frequency, ...) or already converted into the quantity of pressure.

The further evaluation of the measured values can contain the following parameters:
- mean values
- zero deviation
- repeatability
- if applicable, reproducibility
- hysteresis
- error span
- single-figure indication
- conformity
9.1 Determination of other parameters

9.1.1 Mean values $\bar{x}$
The mean values $\bar{x}_{i,j}$ with $i = \text{up/down}$, mean are calculated as follows:

\[
\bar{x}_{\text{up},j} = \frac{1}{l} \cdot \sum_{m=1}^{3} (x_{m,j} - x_{m,0}) \quad \text{for } m = 1,3,5
\]

\[
\bar{x}_{\text{down},j} = \frac{1}{l} \cdot \sum_{m=2}^{6} (x_{m,j} - x_{(m-1),0}) \quad \text{for } m = 2,4,6
\]

\[
\bar{x}_{\text{mean},j} = \frac{\bar{x}_{\text{up},j} + \bar{x}_{\text{down},j}}{2}
\]

(28)

where variable $l$ indicates the number of measurement series.

For pressure gauges, where the zero point is not included in the calibration range (e.g. 800 mbar abs to 1200 mbar abs), the zero point correction is omitted when calculating the mean values.

9.1.2 Error span $U'\,$
The error span is the sum of the expanded uncertainty ($k = 2$) and the amount of the systematic deviation. Due to the systematic component, the error span is assigned the rectangular distribution as distribution shape. The error span is to be determined according to the requirements for the mean values of the increasing and decreasing series and the mean value:

\[U' = U + |\Delta p|\]  

(29)

The relative error span $W'$ is formed accordingly.

\[W' = W + \frac{|\Delta S|}{S'}\]  

(30)

Note: See footnote 8 on p. 20.

9.1.3 Conformity
If the error spans and the transmission coefficients with attributed measurement uncertainty lie within the indicated specification limits, the conformity according to DAkkS-DKD-5 [12] can be confirmed. Their range of validity has to be indicated. When assessing the compliance with the required specification limits, their origin has to be indicated, e.g. manufacturer-specific specifications according to data sheet, customer demands, inter alia.

9.2 Visualisation of the calibration result
For a better understanding and a quick overview, the calibration result can also be represented graphically.

9.2.1 Bourdon tube pressure gauges, electrical pressure gauges:
The systematic deviation with the expanded measurement uncertainty or the resulting error span, respectively, are to be represented with reference to the specification limit (= error limit) – in the unit of the physical quantity and/or as a related quantity.
The representation of related parameters can be carried out in a form typical for the type of equipment (related to the measurement span, related to the measurement value).

**Figure 4:** Visualisation of the calibration result for a Bourdon tube pressure gauge or an electrical pressure gauge

To support a statement of conformity, the results can also be represented in standardized form (specification limit = 100%). The specification limit can either be specified by the customer, or the one provided by the manufacturer can be adopted.
9.2.2 Pressure transmitters with electrical output

The transmission coefficients and the attributed measurement uncertainties are represented with reference to the specification limits (error limits according to the manufacturer's specifications or self-determined limits).

Figure 5: Visualisation of the calibration result for a pressure transmitter with electrical output
9.3 Limiting values for uncertainty statements

The measurement uncertainty and the error span are calculated according to Section 8. This is valid for all the calibration sequences (A, B, C).

Regardless of the result of the calibration, however, the measurement uncertainty is stated for cal. sequence B not smaller than 0.04% of measurement span and for cal. sequence C not smaller than 0.30% of measurement span.

For the indication of an error span in a conformity statement according to DAkkS-DKD-5, the value must be given for cal. sequence B not smaller than 0.06% of measurement span and for cal. sequence C not smaller than 0.60% of measurement span.

The measurement uncertainty and the error span for the calibration sequence A remain unaffected by these limiting values. They are indicated as actually calculated.

In case of measuring devices for which specifications of the measurement value or combined specifications are stated, the limiting values are to be applied using the specification limit at the upper limit of the measurement range.
10. Additional rules and standards

If appropriate, the following rules are to be taken into account for the calibration of pressure gauges. It may also be agreed to carry out the calibration in accordance with individual sections of some of these rules.

[1] DIN EN 837 T1 Druckmessgeräte mit Rohrfedern
Maße, Messtechnik, Anforderungen und Prüfung
Edition February 1997
(English title: Pressure gauges - Part 1: Bourdon tube pressure gauges; dimensions, metrology, requirements and testing)

Maße, Messtechnik, Anforderungen und Prüfung
Edition February 1997
(English title: Pressure gauges - Part 3: Diaphragm and capsule pressure gauges; dimensions, metrology, requirements and testing)

[3] DIN 16086 Elektrische Druckmessgeräte
Druckaufnehmer, Druckmessumformer, Druckmessgeräte
Begriffe und Angaben in Datenblättern
Edition January 2006
(English title: Electrical pressure measuring instruments - Pressure transmitters, pressure measuring instruments - Concepts, specifications on data sheets)

Edition January 1991
(English title: Basic principles for the design of line scales and pointers)

[5] EURAMET cg-3 Calibration of Pressure Balances
Version 1.0 (03/2011)

Version 2.0 (03/2011)

General


(English title: International vocabulary of metrology – Basic and general concepts and associated terms (VIM))

Teil 1: Grundbegriffe
(English title: Fundamentals of metrology - Part 1: Basic terminology)

Teil 2: Begriffe für die Anwendung von Messgeräten
(English title: Fundamentals of metrology - Part 2: Terminology related to measuring equipment)

Measurement uncertainty


[16] EA-4/02:1999  Expression of the Uncertainty of Measurement in Calibration -- including supplement 1 and 2 European co-operation for Accreditation
http://www.european-accreditation.org/content/publications/pub.htm

(English title: Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement)

http://www.dakks.de/doc_kalibrier

http://www.dakks.de/doc_kalibrier

http://www.dakks.de/doc_kalibrier


(English title: Fundamentals of metrology - Part 4: Evaluation of measurements; uncertainty of measurement)
Literature


[27] Themenhefte Messunsicherheit: tm Technisches Messen, 2/2004 und 5/2005 (Special publication series on the subject of measurement uncertainty)
Annex A  Estimate of the measurement uncertainty to be attributed to the values of the pressure balance under conditions of use

For a pressure balance under standard conditions, the values and the attributed expanded uncertainty $U_{\text{stand,Std}}$ are to be taken from the calibration certificate (issued, for example, by PTB). When operating the device under conditions of use, corrections regarding the relevant influence quantities are to be applied to the values, and to these corrections, too, a measurement uncertainty has to be attributed.

Model of measurement:

\[
P_e = \frac{A_0 \cdot (1 + \lambda \cdot p) \cdot \left[ 1 + (\alpha + \beta) \cdot (t - 20\,^\circ\text{C}) \right]}{1 - \frac{\rho_a}{\rho_{m,i}}} + \Delta \rho \cdot g \cdot h
\]  
\[
\Delta \rho = \rho_{fi} - \rho_a
\]

Uncertainty budget

with the relevant influence quantities for the pressure value of the standard: temperature, thermal linear expansion coefficient for the piston and cylinder, acceleration due to gravity and deformation coefficient. The sensitivity coefficients have been calculated with the approximations usual for practical applications and for the most common case $\alpha = \beta$.

Table A1:  Partial uncertainty budget for the correction of the pressure values of the pressure balance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Best estimate $X_i$</th>
<th>Half-width $x_i$</th>
<th>Probability distribution $g_{X_i}(\xi_i)$</th>
<th>Divisor $u(x_i)$</th>
<th>Standard uncertainty $c_i$</th>
<th>Sensitivity coefficient $u_i(y)$</th>
<th>Uncertainty contribution $u_{\text{corr}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>$t_K$</td>
<td>$a_t$</td>
<td>rectangle $\sqrt{3}$</td>
<td>$u(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \cdot a_t^2$</td>
<td>$c_t = -2 \cdot \alpha \cdot p$</td>
<td>$u_t = c_t \cdot u(t)$</td>
<td>bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal linear expansion</td>
<td>$a + \beta$</td>
<td>$a_\alpha$</td>
<td>rectangle $\sqrt{3}$</td>
<td>$u(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \cdot a_\alpha^2$</td>
<td>$c_\alpha = -2 \cdot (t - 20,^\circ\text{C}) \cdot p$</td>
<td>$u_\alpha = c_\alpha \cdot u(\alpha)$</td>
<td>bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coefficient</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceleration due to gravity</td>
<td>$g$</td>
<td>$a_g$</td>
<td>rectangle $\sqrt{3}$</td>
<td>$u(g) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \cdot a_g^2$</td>
<td>$c_g = \frac{p}{g}$</td>
<td>$u_g = c_g \cdot u(g)$</td>
<td>bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deformation coefficient</td>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
<td>$a_\lambda$</td>
<td>rectangle $\sqrt{3}$</td>
<td>$u(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \cdot a_\lambda^2$</td>
<td>$c_\lambda = -p^2$</td>
<td>$u_\lambda = c_\lambda \cdot u(\lambda)$</td>
<td>bar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
u_{\text{corr}} = \sqrt{u_t^2 + u_\alpha^2 + u_g^2 + u_\lambda^2}
\]

---

11 See on page 19
12 See also EURAMET cg-3; Appendix C
Note:
1. In calibration certificates issued by PTB for pressure balances, the contribution of the uncertainty of the numerical value of the deformation coefficient to the uncertainty of the pressure measurement at reference temperature has already been taken into account.
2. By using portal measuring devices, it is possible to measure the local acceleration due to gravity in a particular place with a relative uncertainty of a few ppm. If such an exact measurement value is available, and in view of the usually much larger relative uncertainty of the value of the cross-sectional area, it may be acceptable to neglect the uncertainty contribution of the acceleration due to gravity.
3. In relation to the force of inertia $g \cdot m$ acting in the vacuum, the buoyancy correction is of the order $1.5 \times 10^{-4}$. Changes in the air density at a particular location due to the weather normally do not exceed 2%, corresponding to a relative contribution to the measurement uncertainty of 3 ppm. In relation to the uncertainty of the cross-sectional area of 50 ppm that is usually indicated in calibration certificates, this contribution is negligible and, in general, does not justify the metrological effort necessary for its determination (refer to chapter 6. Ambient conditions, Note).

Uncertainty budget

with the influence qualities relevant for the determination of the hydrostatic pressure due to a difference in altitude

Table A2: Partial uncertainty budget with the relevant influence quantities for determining the hydrostatic pressure due to a difference in altitude

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Best estimate $x_i$</th>
<th>Half-width $a_i$</th>
<th>Probability distribution $g_{X_i}(\xi_i)$</th>
<th>Divisor $u(x_i)$</th>
<th>Sensitivity coefficient $c_i$</th>
<th>Uncertainty contribution $u_i(y)$</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Determination of density difference $\Delta \rho$</td>
<td>$\rho_{\Delta \rho}$</td>
<td>$\rho_{\Delta \rho}$</td>
<td>rectangle</td>
<td>$\sqrt{3}$</td>
<td>$u(\Delta \rho) = \frac{\rho_{\Delta \rho}}{\sqrt{3}} (\rho_{\Delta \rho} + \rho_{\Delta \rho} + \rho_{\Delta \rho})$</td>
<td>$c_{\Delta \rho} = g \cdot h$</td>
<td>$u_{\Delta \rho} = c_{\Delta \rho} \cdot u(\Delta \rho)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determination of acceleration due to gravity $g$</td>
<td>$a_g$</td>
<td>$a_g$</td>
<td>rectangle</td>
<td>$\sqrt{3}$</td>
<td>$u(g) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} a_g^2$</td>
<td>$c_g = \Delta \rho \cdot h$</td>
<td>$u_g = c_g \cdot u(g)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determination of difference in altitude $h$</td>
<td>$a_h$</td>
<td>$a_h$</td>
<td>rectangle</td>
<td>$\sqrt{3}$</td>
<td>$u(h) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} a_h^2$</td>
<td>$c_h = \Delta \rho \cdot g$</td>
<td>$u_h = c_h \cdot u(h)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y$</td>
<td>$y$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$u_{corr2} = \sqrt{u^2 \Delta \rho + u^2 g + u^2 h}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Expanded uncertainty ($k = 2$) for the values realized by a pressure balance under conditions of use:

$$U_{standard, appl} = k \cdot \sqrt{u_{standard, std}^2 + u_{corr1}^2 + u_{corr2}^2} \quad (33)$$

Note: In addition to the corrections given here as an example, further corrections and associated contributions to the measurement uncertainty may have to be considered, e.g. the uncertainty of the residual gas pressure measurement for absolute pressure balances, or the pressure dependence of the density of the pressure-transmitting medium in the measurement of major hydraulic pressures.
Annex B  Example  
Uncertainty budget for the calibration of a Bourdon tube pressure gauge

Calibration effort for calibration sequence C  
Indication of the mean value ($\bar{p}$) with measurement deviation ($\Delta p$) and hysteresis ($h$)

Calibration item  
Gauge pressure measuring device with elastic sensing element (Bourdon tube pressure gauge)  
Accuracy stated by the manufacturer: DIN cl. 1.0  
Scale interval: 0.5 bar (with fifth estimate)

Standard device  
Designation: xxx  
Expanded uncertainty: $1 \cdot 10^{-4}$ \( p \); but not smaller than 0.4 mbar

Calibration conditions  
Pressure-transmitting medium: purified nitrogen  
$\rho_{H(20\,^{\circ}C,1\,\text{bar})}$: 1.15 kg/m$^3$  
$\Delta h$: $(0 \pm 0.005)$ m  
$t_{\text{amb}}$: $(21.6 \pm 1.0)$ °C  
$p_{\text{amb}}$: $(990 \pm 1)$ mbar

Table B1: Result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure at the height of the reference plane of the calibration item</th>
<th>Reading from calibration item (indication)</th>
<th>Mean value $p$</th>
<th>Measurement deviation $\Delta p$</th>
<th>Hysteresis $h$</th>
<th>Expanded uncertainty ($k = 2$) $U$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$p_{\text{standard}}$</td>
<td>$p_{\text{ind}}$</td>
<td>(M1+M2)/2</td>
<td>$\bar{p} - p_{\text{standard}}$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>M2-M1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bar</td>
<td>bar</td>
<td>bar</td>
<td>bar</td>
<td>bar</td>
<td>bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.02</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.03</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.04</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48.04</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.05</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table B2: Uncertainty budget for load step $p = 60.05$ bar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Best estimate</th>
<th>Width of distribution</th>
<th>Divisor</th>
<th>Standard uncertainty</th>
<th>Sensitivity coefficient</th>
<th>Uncertainty contribution</th>
<th>Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$X_i$</td>
<td>$x_i$</td>
<td>$2a$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$u(x_i)$</td>
<td>$c_i$</td>
<td>$u_i(y)$</td>
<td>$u^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$P_{\text{std}}$ $60.05$ bar | 2 | $3.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$ bar | -1 | $3.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 9.02 $\cdot 10^{-6}$ |
$P_{\text{std}, \, \ast}$ |  0.999997 | 2 K | $\sqrt{3}$ | 5.77 $\cdot 10^{-3}$ K | -1.32 $\cdot 10^{-3}$ bar/K | 7.63 $\cdot 10^{-4}$ | 5.82 $\cdot 10^{-7}$ |
$P_{\text{std}, \, \ast \ast}$ |  0 | 1.0 $\cdot 10^{-2}$ m | $\sqrt{3}$ | 2.89 $\cdot 10^{-3}$ m | -6.89 $\cdot 10^{-3}$ bar/m | 1.99 $\cdot 10^{-5}$ | 3.95 $\cdot 10^{-10}$ |
$P_{\text{std}}$ |  60.05 bar | 0.1 bar | $\sqrt{3}$ | 5.77 $\cdot 10^{-3}$ bar | 1 | 5.77 $\cdot 10^{-2}$ | 3.33 $\cdot 10^{-3}$ |

$\delta P_{\text{zero deviation}}$ |  0 | 0.0 bar | $\sqrt{3}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
$\delta P_{\text{repeatability}}$ |  0 | 0.0 bar | $\sqrt{3}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
$\delta P_{\text{hysteresis}}$ |  0 | 0.1 bar | $\sqrt{3}$ | 2.89 $\cdot 10^{-2}$ bar | 1 | 2.89 $\cdot 10^{-2}$ | 8.33 $\cdot 10^{-4}$ |

$\Delta p$ |  0.00 bar | Standard uncertainty $u$ or variance $u^2$, respectively | 6.46 $\cdot 10^{-2}$ | $\sum u_i^2 = 4.17 \cdot 10^{-3}$ |

$\Delta p$ |  0.00 bar | Expanded uncertainty $U = k \cdot u$ ($k = 2$) | 0.13 bar |

* Taking into account the temperature-dependent superficial expansion coefficient of the piston-cylinder system $(\alpha + \beta)$
** Taking into account the pressure-dependent gas density (approximation)

$P_{\text{rel}}(T) = \rho_{\text{rel}}(T) \cdot P_{\text{abs}}$ with $T = 273.15$ K

For the correction of the pressures realized by the standard device, the following data were used (calculation in accordance with Annex A):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$t_k$</td>
<td>$(21.6 \pm 1.0) ^\circ$C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g$</td>
<td>$(9.812533 \pm 0.0000020)$ m·s$^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha + \beta$</td>
<td>$(22.0 \pm 1.1) \cdot 10^{\pm 6}$ K$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
The calculated expanded measurement uncertainty for the load step $p = 60.05$ bar of $U = 0.13$ bar corresponds to a relative expanded uncertainty of $W = 0.22\%$. According to chapter 9.3 "Limiting values for uncertainty statements", the value stated in the calibration certificate for a calibration according to sequence C (repeatability and reproducibility cannot be determined) must not be smaller than a value of $W = 0.30\%$; this corresponds to an expanded uncertainty of $U = 0.18$ bar.
Annex C  
Example  
Uncertainty budget for the calibration of a digital electrical pressure gauge

Calibration effort for calibration sequence B
Indication of the mean value (\( \overline{p} \)) with measurement deviation (\( \Delta p \)), repeatability (\( b' \)) and hysteresis (\( h \))
Electrical pressure gauge with suppressed zero point

**Calibration item**
Electrical pressure gauge with suppressed zero point
Accuracy stated by the manufacturer: 0.03 % of the mean value
Resolution: 0.001 mbar

**Standard device**
Designation: xxx
Expanded uncertainty (standard): \( 1 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot p \) but not smaller than 0.005 mbar

**Calibration conditions**
Pressure-transmitting medium: air
\( p_{0}(20^\circ \text{C}, 1 \text{ bar}) \): 1.19 kg/m³
\( \Delta h \): \( (0 \pm 0.005) \text{ m} \)
\( t_{\text{amb}} \): \( (21.6 \pm 1.0) ^\circ \text{C} \)
\( p_{\text{amb}} \): \( (990 \pm 1) \text{ mbar} \)

### Table C1: Result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure at the height of the reference plane of the calibration item ( p_{\text{standard}} ) mbar</th>
<th>Reading from calibration item (indication)</th>
<th>Mean value ( \overline{p} ) mbar</th>
<th>Measurement deviation ( \Delta p ) mbar</th>
<th>Repeatability ( b' ) mbar</th>
<th>Hysteresis ( h ) mbar</th>
<th>Expanded uncertainty ( U ) mbar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50.085</td>
<td>49.850</td>
<td>49.861</td>
<td>49.834</td>
<td>49.852</td>
<td>-0.233</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130.191</td>
<td>129.984</td>
<td>130.007</td>
<td>129.967</td>
<td>129.991</td>
<td>-0.200</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330.460</td>
<td>330.301</td>
<td>330.335</td>
<td>330.284</td>
<td>330.314</td>
<td>-0.146</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>530.731</td>
<td>530.616</td>
<td>530.654</td>
<td>530.600</td>
<td>530.631</td>
<td>-0.100</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>730.990</td>
<td>730.892</td>
<td>730.933</td>
<td>730.879</td>
<td>730.909</td>
<td>-0.081</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>931.272</td>
<td>931.184</td>
<td>931.226</td>
<td>931.172</td>
<td>931.202</td>
<td>-0.070</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1131.138</td>
<td>1131.050</td>
<td>1131.094</td>
<td>1131.046</td>
<td>1131.071</td>
<td>-0.067</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1331.413</td>
<td>1331.330</td>
<td>1331.359</td>
<td>1331.337</td>
<td>1331.346</td>
<td>-0.067</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1531.673</td>
<td>1531.630</td>
<td>1531.656</td>
<td>1531.629</td>
<td>1531.643</td>
<td>-0.030</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table C2: Uncertainty budget for load step $p = 1531,673$ mbar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Best estimate</th>
<th>Width of the distribution</th>
<th>Divisor</th>
<th>Standard uncertainty</th>
<th>Sensitivity coefficient</th>
<th>Uncertainty contribution</th>
<th>Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$X_i$</td>
<td>$x_i$</td>
<td>$2a$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$u(x_i)$</td>
<td>$c_i$</td>
<td>$u_i(y)$</td>
<td>$u^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_{\text{standard}}$</td>
<td>1531.673 mbar</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.66 $\times 10^{-2}$ mbar</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>7.66 $\times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>5.87 $\times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_{\text{standard, } \Delta}$</td>
<td>0.999997</td>
<td>2 K</td>
<td>$\sqrt{3}$</td>
<td>5.77 $\times 10^{-1}$ K</td>
<td>-3.37 $\times 10^{-2}$ mbar/K</td>
<td>1.95 $\times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>3.78 $\times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_{\text{standard, residual}}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00 $\times 10^{-2}$ mbar</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.00 $\times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>1.00 $\times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_{\text{standard, } \beta}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.0 $\times 10^{-2}$ mbar</td>
<td>$\sqrt{3}$</td>
<td>2.89 $\times 10^{-3}$ mbar</td>
<td>-1.79 $\times 10^{-1}$ mbar/m</td>
<td>5.17 $\times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>2.66 $\times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_{\text{std}}$</td>
<td>1531.643 mbar</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\sqrt{3}$</td>
<td>2.89 $\times 10^{-4}$ mbar</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.89 $\times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>8.33 $\times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta p_{\text{repeatability}}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.001 mbar</td>
<td>$\sqrt{3}$</td>
<td>2.89 $\times 10^{-4}$ mbar</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.89 $\times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>8.33 $\times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta p_{\text{hysteresis}}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.026 mbar</td>
<td>$\sqrt{3}$</td>
<td>7.51 $\times 10^{-3}$ mbar</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.51 $\times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>5.63 $\times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta p$</td>
<td>-0.030 mbar</td>
<td>Standard uncertainty $u$ or variance $u^2$, respectively</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.00 $\times 10^{-2}$ $\sum u_i^2 = 6.40 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta p$</td>
<td>-0.030 mbar</td>
<td>Expanded uncertainty $U = k \cdot u$ ($k = 2$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.16 mbar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Taking into account the temperature-dependent superficial expansion coefficient of the piston-cylinder system ($\alpha+\beta$)

** Taking into account the pressure-dependent gas density (approximation)

\[
\rho_{p,t} = \rho_{20^\circ C,1\text{bar}} \cdot \left(\frac{p_{\text{abs}} \cdot (T + 20\text{K})}{1\text{bar} \cdot (T + t)}\right) \quad \text{with } T = 273,15 \text{ K}
\]

For the correction of the pressures realized by the standard device, the following data were used (calculation in accordance with Annex A):

\begin{align*}
\tau_K & : (21.6 \pm 1.0) ^\circ \text{C} \\
g & : (9.812533 \pm 0.000020) \text{ m} \cdot \text{s}^{-2} \\
\alpha+\beta & : (22.0 \pm 1.1) \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}
\end{align*}

Note:
The calculated expanded uncertainty of $U = 0.16$ mbar for the load step $p = 1531.673$ mbar corresponds to a relative expanded uncertainty of $W = 0.01\%$. According to chapter 9.3 “Limiting values for uncertainty statements”, the value stated in the calibration certificate for a calibration according to sequence B must not be smaller than a value of $W = 0.04\%$; this corresponds to an expanded uncertainty of $U = 0.62$ mbar.
Annex D  Example
Uncertainty budget for the calibration of a pressure transmitter with electrical output

Calibration effort for calibration sequence A with second clamping
Indication of the mean value ($\bar{p}$) from increasing and decreasing series, of repeatability ($b'$), reproducibility ($b$), hysteresis ($h$), transmission coefficient $S$ and deviation ($\Delta S$).

**Calibration item**
Pressure transmitter with electrical output
Accuracy stated by the manufacturer: 0.01 % of the upper limit of the measuring range

**Standard device**
Designation: xxx
Expanded uncertainty: $1 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot p$ but not smaller than 1 mbar
in the measuring temperature range
in the pressure reference plane of the calibration item
at the place of installation ($g = g_{loc}$)

**Auxiliary measuring device**
Digital compensator: xxx
Expanded uncertainty $U(A)$: 0.00005 mV/V
[A: Indication in mV/V $= U_{ind}/G \cdot U_{Sp}$ with $G = 1$ and $U(G) = 0$]

**Calibration conditions**
Pressure-transmitting medium: white oil
$\rho_{l(20^\circ C)}$: (855 ± 40) kg/m$^3$ in measuring range up to 200 bar
$\Delta h$: (0 ± 0.005) m
$t_{amb}$: (20 ± 1) °C
$\rho_{amb}$: (990 ± 1) mbar

---

13 In the following example, the measurement uncertainty is estimated with related values according to the product/quotient model (eq. 16). Alternatively, the sum/difference model (eq. 8) can be selected when the measurement deviations of the output signal of the pressure transducer from the values calculated according to the nominal characteristic curve are considered. Here, the results of the uncertainty estimates show quantitative agreement.
### Table D1: Measurement data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure at the height of the reference plane of the calibration item (P_{\text{standard}})</th>
<th>Indication (A_{\text{digital compensator}})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(P_{\text{standard}})</td>
<td>(M1) (up)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bar</td>
<td>mV/V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.010</td>
<td>0.20009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.022</td>
<td>0.40026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.033</td>
<td>0.60041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80.045</td>
<td>0.80053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.056</td>
<td>1.00063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120.068</td>
<td>1.20074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140.079</td>
<td>1.40080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160.091</td>
<td>1.60082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180.102</td>
<td>1.80084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200.113</td>
<td>2.00079</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table D2: Evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure at the height of the reference plane of the calibration item (P_{\text{standard}})</th>
<th>(\bar{p})</th>
<th>(f_{\text{rel}}) (\Sigma M/6)</th>
<th>Zero deviation</th>
<th>Repeatability</th>
<th>Reproducibility</th>
<th>Hysteresis</th>
<th>Relative expanded uncertainty (W(\bar{p}_{\text{standard}}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(P_{\text{standard}})</td>
<td>mV/V</td>
<td>(%)</td>
<td>(%)</td>
<td>(%)</td>
<td>(%)</td>
<td>(%)</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-0.00001</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.010</td>
<td>0.20023</td>
<td>1.5E-04</td>
<td>5.0E-04</td>
<td>6.0E-04</td>
<td>7.0E-04</td>
<td>1.0E-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.022</td>
<td>0.40048</td>
<td>7.5E-05</td>
<td>1.5E-04</td>
<td>1.7E-04</td>
<td>8.6E-04</td>
<td>1.0E-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.033</td>
<td>0.60070</td>
<td>5.0E-05</td>
<td>1.3E-04</td>
<td>1.3E-04</td>
<td>8.0E-04</td>
<td>1.0E-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80.045</td>
<td>0.80088</td>
<td>3.7E-05</td>
<td>1.1E-04</td>
<td>1.1E-04</td>
<td>7.1E-04</td>
<td>1.0E-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.056</td>
<td>1.00102</td>
<td>3.0E-05</td>
<td>9.0E-05</td>
<td>1.5E-04</td>
<td>6.3E-04</td>
<td>1.0E-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120.068</td>
<td>1.20110</td>
<td>2.5E-05</td>
<td>1.1E-04</td>
<td>1.5E-04</td>
<td>5.2E-04</td>
<td>1.0E-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140.079</td>
<td>1.40117</td>
<td>2.1E-05</td>
<td>9.3E-05</td>
<td>1.9E-04</td>
<td>4.3E-04</td>
<td>1.0E-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160.091</td>
<td>1.60116</td>
<td>1.9E-05</td>
<td>8.7E-05</td>
<td>2.0E-04</td>
<td>3.5E-04</td>
<td>1.0E-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180.102</td>
<td>1.80111</td>
<td>1.7E-05</td>
<td>1.0E-04</td>
<td>2.1E-04</td>
<td>2.3E-04</td>
<td>1.0E-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200.113</td>
<td>2.00092</td>
<td>1.5E-05</td>
<td>4.5E-05</td>
<td>7.0E-05</td>
<td>8.0E-05</td>
<td>1.0E-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*) in the pressure reference plane of the calibration item
### Table D3: Result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure at the height of the reference plane of the calibration item</th>
<th>Transmission coefficient</th>
<th>Deviation</th>
<th>Relative expanded uncertainty</th>
<th>Expanded uncertainty</th>
<th>Error span</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$p_{\text{standard}}$</td>
<td>$S = V/p$</td>
<td>$S'$</td>
<td>$W(S) = 2[\Sigma w_i^2(S)]^{0.5}$</td>
<td>$U(S) = W(S) \cdot S$</td>
<td>$U'(S) = U + \Delta S$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bar</td>
<td>(mV/V)/bar</td>
<td>(mV/V)/bar</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>(mV/V)/bar</td>
<td>(mV/V)/bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.010</td>
<td>0.0100067</td>
<td>5.2E-06</td>
<td>6.2E-04</td>
<td>6.2E-06</td>
<td>1.1E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.022</td>
<td>0.0100064</td>
<td>4.9E-06</td>
<td>5.3E-04</td>
<td>5.3E-06</td>
<td>1.0E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.033</td>
<td>0.0100062</td>
<td>4.7E-06</td>
<td>4.9E-04</td>
<td>4.9E-06</td>
<td>9.6E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80.045</td>
<td>0.0100053</td>
<td>3.8E-06</td>
<td>4.4E-04</td>
<td>4.4E-06</td>
<td>8.2E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.056</td>
<td>0.0100045</td>
<td>3.0E-06</td>
<td>3.9E-04</td>
<td>3.9E-06</td>
<td>7.0E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120.068</td>
<td>0.0100035</td>
<td>2.0E-06</td>
<td>3.4E-04</td>
<td>3.4E-06</td>
<td>5.3E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140.079</td>
<td>0.0100027</td>
<td>1.2E-06</td>
<td>3.0E-04</td>
<td>3.0E-06</td>
<td>4.2E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160.091</td>
<td>0.0100016</td>
<td>4.5E-08</td>
<td>2.6E-04</td>
<td>2.6E-06</td>
<td>2.7E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180.102</td>
<td>0.0100005</td>
<td>-1.0E-06</td>
<td>2.2E-04</td>
<td>2.2E-06</td>
<td>3.2E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200.113</td>
<td>0.0099990</td>
<td>-2.5E-06</td>
<td>1.3E-04</td>
<td>1.3E-06</td>
<td>3.8E-06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Single-figure indication: $S' = 0.0100015$ (mV/V) / bar

### Table D4: Uncertainty budget for load step $p = 100,056$ bar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Best estimate</th>
<th>Width of the distribution</th>
<th>Divisor</th>
<th>Standard uncertainty</th>
<th>Sensitivity coefficient</th>
<th>Uncertainty contribution</th>
<th>Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$X_i$</td>
<td>$x_i$</td>
<td>$2a$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$w(x_i)$</td>
<td>$c_i$</td>
<td>$w_i^2(y)$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_{\text{standard}}$</td>
<td>100,056 bar</td>
<td>20 mbar</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.00-10 $^5$</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>5.00-10 $^5$</td>
<td>2.50-10 $^9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U_{\text{ind}}$</td>
<td>1,00102 mV/V</td>
<td>0,00010 mV/V</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.50-10 $^5$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.50-10 $^5$</td>
<td>6.25-10 $^{10}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{\text{zero deviation}}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.0-10 $^5$</td>
<td>$\sqrt{3}$</td>
<td>8.66-10 $^6$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.66-10 $^6$</td>
<td>7.50-10 $^{11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{\text{repeatability}}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.0-10 $^5$</td>
<td>$\sqrt{3}$</td>
<td>2.60-10 $^5$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.60-10 $^5$</td>
<td>6.76-10 $^{10}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{\text{reproducibility}}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5-10 $^4$</td>
<td>$\sqrt{3}$</td>
<td>4.33-10 $^5$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.33-10 $^5$</td>
<td>1.87-10 $^9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{\text{hysteresis}}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.3-10 $^4$</td>
<td>$\sqrt{3}$</td>
<td>1.82-10 $^4$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.82-10 $^4$</td>
<td>3.31-10 $^8$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$S = 0.0100046$ Rel. standard uncertainty $w$ or variance $w^2$, respectively $1.97-10^4$ $3.88-10^8$

$S = 0.0100046$ Relative expanded uncertainty $W = k \cdot w (k = 2)$ $3.9-10^4$
The pressure dependence of the oil density has been neglected.

For the load step $p = 100.056$ bar, the expanded uncertainty of the determination of the transmission coefficient is calculated as follows:

$$U(S)_{100 \text{ bar}} = W \cdot S = 3.9 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot 0.01000455 \text{ (mV/V)/bar} = 3.9 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ (mV/V)/bar}$$

The specification limit is, for example, ±0.13 % of the transmission coefficient.

**Figure D1:** Visualisation of the transmission coefficients
Figure D2: Visualisation of the error spans

![Graph showing the error spans against positive excess pressure in bar.
The error spans are indicated by vertical lines, with different limits denoted by horizontal lines and dots.
The x-axis represents positive excess pressure in bar, ranging from 0 to 220.
The y-axis shows the error span in mV/V per bar, ranging from -0.00015 to 0.00015.
Error span and self-determined upper/lower limit of deviation are indicated by different lines on the graph.]
### Annex E (informative) Measurements uncertainties of reference and working standards

**Table E1:** Typical measurement uncertainties which can be attributed to the values of the reference standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure scale</th>
<th>Typical value of the expanded uncertainty $U$ ($k = 2$) related to the measurement value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$10^{-9}$ mbar ... $10^{-6}$ mbar</td>
<td>10 % ... 6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{-6}$ mbar ... $10^{-2}$ mbar</td>
<td>4 % ... 1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{-2}$ mbar ... 10 mbar</td>
<td>0.5 % ... 0.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 mbar ... 50 mbar</td>
<td>0.03 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 mbar ... 1 bar</td>
<td>0.01 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 bar ... 700 bar</td>
<td>0.008 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700 bar ... 2000 bar</td>
<td>0.012 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000 bar ... 10000 bar</td>
<td>0.07 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table E2:** Typical measurement uncertainties which can be attributed to the values of the working standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Working standard</th>
<th>Typical value of the expanded uncertainty $U$ ($k = 2$) related to the measurement span</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>quartz sensors, quartz spiral gauges</td>
<td>0.01 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>piezoresistive pressure transmitters</td>
<td>0.03 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thin-film pressure transducers, pressure strain gauges</td>
<td>0.05 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>capacitive pressure transducers, Bourdon tube pressure gauges cl. 0.1</td>
<td>0.10 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Annex F      Recalibration intervals (recommendation)

It is the user who is responsible for fixing, and complying with, an appropriate period for repeating the calibration. Under normal conditions of use, the following recalibration periods are recommended:

- **Piston pressure gauges**
  - 5 years
- **Bourdon tube pressure gauges, class > 0.6**
  - 2 years
- **Electrical pressure gauges > 0.5 % of measurement span**
  - 2 years
- **Pressure transmitters with electrical output > 0.5 % of measurement span**
  - 2 years
- **Bourdon tube pressure gauges, class ≤ 0.6**
  - 1 year
- **Electrical pressure gauges ≤ 0.5 % of measurement span**
  - 1 year
- **Pressure transmitters with electrical output ≤ 0.5 % of measurement span**
  - 1 year

Regardless of these periods, the calibration item is to be recalibrated, among other things, if it has been subjected to overloading outside its permissible overload limit, after a repair, after improper handling which might affect the measurement uncertainty, or if other reasons exist.